DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 405 764 HE 030 002

TITLE The Workload of the UMS Faculty. A Report to the Joint Chairs of the Senate Budget and Taxation

Committee and the House Appropriations Committee.

INSTITUTION Maryland Univ. System Administration, Adelphi.

PUB DATE 1 Dec 95 NOTE 64p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142) -- Statistical

Data (110) -- Tests/Evaluation Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *College Faculty; Faculty Publishing; *Faculty

Workload; Higher Education; *Productivity; Research;

State Regulation; *Teaching Load; *Universities

IDENTIFIERS *University of Maryland System

ABSTRACT

This report provides the results of a study of faculty workloads in the University of Maryland System (UMS) during the 1994-95 academic year. It was found that 19,446 course units were taught by full-time (FT) faculty at degree-granting institutions, an increase of 158 course units from the previous year while the number of FT faculty decreased by 106. Of the 3,653 FT faculty at UMS institutions, 1,111 (30 percent) were granted exceptions from teaching the standard load. Thirty-four percent of FT faculty taught more than the standard load. Externally funded research accounted for 19 percent of course load exceptions, while sabbaticals accounted for 21 percent and departmental administration accounted for 20 percent. A total of \$315 million was obtained by UMS institutions in externally funded research in 1994-95, and UMS faculty published 800 books, more than 8,000 peer reviewed articles, and made more than 10,000 professional presentations. The Board of Regents' policy to increase teaching loads at UMS institutions is outlined. Institution-specific tables of teaching loads and productivity are included. Two appendixes provide a letter discussing modifications to the current survey and a copy of the survey lowered instructions. (MDM)



A REPORT TO THE JOINT CHAIRS OF THE SENATE BUDGET AND TAXATION COMMITTEE AND THE HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

ON

THE WORKLOAD OF THE UMS FACULTY

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

John Lippincott

Univ of MD System Admin

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- Rights document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

University of Maryland System Administration December 1, 1995



I. INTRODUCTION

In August 1994, the Board of Regents of the University of Maryland System — as part of its commitment to ensure quality, accountability, and efficiency in all of its operations adopted a policy for faculty productivity and a reporting process to monitor its implementation.

The UMS workload policy strikes a critical balance between providing meaningful measures of workload (for purposes of both internal management and external accountability) and the vast differences among institutions, departments, and individual faculty members. The UMS policy also strives to encourage faculty initiative and creativity and to enhance the quality of their teaching, research, and service. The UMS policy was developed in consultation with academic vice presidents, representatives of the Council of University System Faculty, the Maryland Higher Education Commission's staff, and the Office of the Attorney General.

On December 1, 1994, the UMS policy was submitted to the Maryland General Assembly along with the first comprehensive report on the workload of the UMS faculty. The policy and report responded to the FY 1995 Joint Chairmen's Report. On January 11, 1995, Senator Barbara Hoffman and Delegate Howard P. Rawlings, in a letter to Chancellor Langenberg, found that the report met the requirements outlined in the Joint Chairmen's Report.

II. FY 1996 JOINT CHAIRMEN'S REPORT

The FY 1996 Joint Chairmen's Report stated:

The budget committees are pleased with the progress the University of Maryland System has made towards implementing a faculty workload policy ensuring that instructional effort is balanced by equally important responsibilities for research and public service. So that the impact of the Board of Regents guidelines on the University of Maryland System institutions, faculty workload reporting should continue for the 1994-1995 academic year in the format followed for the December 1994 report, with two suggested modifications:

- 1. Where existing faculty workloads standard are to be changed as the result of implementing the Board of Regents guidelines, data should be reported in a manner permitting a clear determination of the number of faculty meeting or exceeding the current standard and the new standard.
- 2. The report include an indication of the share of effort faculty devote to instruction, research, and service, on a departmental basis. A suggested



format would report the average share of effort devoted to each category, and the range of effort experienced within each category.

UMS staff met with staff from the Department of Fiscal Services to incorporate the modifications to the faculty workload survey instrument. It was determined that data for the second modification (share of effort devoted to instruction, research, and service) would need to be self-reported, an onerous task that may not reliably gauge effort in these areas. Since the intent to determine such efforts was to assess if tenured and tenure-track faculty devoted time to instruction commensurate with non-tenured faculty, an alternative approach was developed: to provide the student credit hours generated by type of faculty and broken down by lower division, upper division, and graduate. These data would address concerns expressed by members of the General Assembly that undergraduate students, and particularly incoming freshmen, are not exposed to the talents of more experienced tenured professors.

Another modification to the survey instrument focussed on the classification of department chairs. Most UMS institutions classify department chairs as faculty for federal reporting purposes (Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System - IPEDS) and give them release time from teaching; hence these individuals appeared as "exceptions" in the 1994 report. UMCP, and to a large extent UMBC, on the other hand, classified department chairs as administrators for IPEDS¹; thus, they were not included in the "exceptions" category. The survey instrument was modified to provide for uniformity in reporting by having all institutions report department chairs separately. Finally, at the behest of the faculty and the institutions, information was requested on full-time equivalent faculty (FTEF), on state-supported FTEF, on the number of faculty who taught more than the standard load, on the non-instructional productivity as a ratio of FTEF, and on the number of students advised.

Attachment A contains the letter from the legislative analyst confirming that "the modifications ... made to the survey instrument for the Fall 1994 - Spring 1995 Report on Faculty Teaching Load satisfy the intent of the budget committees as set forth in committee narrative."

III. KEY FEATURES OF THE UMS FACULTY WORKLOAD REPORT

Attachment B is a copy of the revised format and the detailed instructions. As in the UMS policy, a "course unit" was defined as equivalent to a three-credit course. Graded instructional experiences that do not follow the traditional course format (e.g., individual studies, supervision of dissertation research, supervision of student teaching, etc.) were converted to course units using the set of weights incorporated in the UMS policy.

¹Beginning in academic year 1995-1996, all department chairs in the UMS institutions are classified as faculty for IPEDS reporting purposes.



The following are the main features of the data format:

- It includes data on ALL faculty;
- It is applicable to the 1994-1995 standard load for each department:
- It provides the standard teaching load during academic year 1994-1995, and the standard teaching load for 1995-1996;
- It provides the number of faculty who taught the standard load in effect in the 1994-1995 academic year (which is not necessarily the expected load called for in the Regents' policy), and the number granted exceptions to that standard, by type of exception;
- It provides the number of faculty who would have taught the standard load had the 1995-1996 been in place in academic year 1994-1995;
- It provides the number of course-equivalent units taught by the faculty;
- It provides the number of courses faculty were excepted from teaching, by type of exception;
- It provides the number of student credit hours (broken down by lower division, upper division and graduate) generated by each type of faculty;
- It provides for the faculty productivity in areas other than instruction, i.e., scholarship, research, and service; and
- It provides the data by department.

IV. LIMITATIONS

There are several limitations inherent in the report format, in the data, and in comparisons with the 1994 report:

 The data in the report reflect teaching standards in place in academic year 1994-1995, prior to implementation of the UMS workload policy. The report monitors the impact of the Board of Regents' guidelines on the University of Maryland System institutions.



- Since this format was not appropriate for the professional schools (medicine, law, dentistry, pharmacy), with their heavy emphasis on clinical instruction, they provided data in a different format.
- Because of the changes in the classification of department chairs, decreases/increases in the number of exceptions due to departmental administration can be misleading.

The data by department are appended to this report as Attachment C.

V. HIGHLIGHTS OF THE DATA REPORTED BY THE DEPARTMENTS

Tables 1 through 7 provide a summary of the data provided by the institutions. These tables do not include data for part-time faculty or teaching assistants, since they do not have a "standard" load.

Overall Summary. Table 1 is an overall summary of the number of core (tenured and tenure-track) and full-time faculty who were given exceptions to teaching the standard load, and the number of exceptions to the standard teaching load.

- 19,446 course units were taught by the tenured, tenure-track, and other full-time faculty in the UMS degree-granting institutions; ninety-four additional course units were taught by CEES and UMBI faculty. This number reflects an increase of 158 course units from the previous year - while the number of core and full-time faculty decreased by 106.
- The faculty at the degree-granting institutions were released from teaching 2,489 course units, or 11% of all course units that could have been taught by full-time faculty. As a comparison, faculty were released from teaching 2,844 course units last year, or 13% of all course units that could have been taught by full-time faculty².
- Of the 3,653 tenured, tenure-track and other full-time faculty at the UMS degree granting institutions, 1,111 (30%) were granted exceptions from teaching the standard.
- Thirty-four percent of the UMS faculty taught more than the standard load. This means that the number of faculty who taught more than the standard load was greater than the number with course exceptions.

²This decrease is due to some extent to the changes in the classification of department chairs.



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 1
THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND SYSTEM
Faculty Instructional Workload
Fall 1994 - Spring 1995

Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty*

| | | Analysis by Courses | urses | | : | Analysis by Faculty | alty | |
|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------|---|--------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | Total | Possible | Exceptions | # of Faculty | # of Faculty | | |
| | Total N of | Jo # | Courses | as % of | Who Taught | Who Taught | # of Faculty | % of Faculty |
| | Course Units | Course | (Taught + | Possible N | Standard | More Than | With Course | With Course |
| Institution | Taught | Exceptions | Exceptions) | of Courses** | Load | Standard Load | Exceptions | Exceptions |
| Bowie St. Un. | 1,171 | ı | 1,266 | 8% | 92 | 39 | 37 | 22 |
| Coppin St. Coll. | 694 | 92 | 770 | 10% | 49 | 31 | 26 | 72% |
| Frostburg St. Un. | 1,704 | | 1,876 | %6 | 168 | n.a. | 74 | |
| Salisbury St. Un. | 1,682 | | 1,877 | 10% | 173 | 7 | 64 | |
| Towson St. Un. | 3,552 | | 4,147 | 14% | 212 | | 204 | |
| Un. of Baltimore | 849 | 91 | 940 | 10% | 74 | 43 | 49 | |
| UMAB*** | 632 | 137 | 769 | 18% | (34) | | 62 | |
| UMBC | 1,912 | 169 | 2,081 | 8% | 112 | | | |
| UMCP | 6,277 | 803 | 7,080 | 11% | 432 | 694 | 449 | 29 |
| JMES | 973 | 156 | 1,129 | 14% | 30 | 54 | 26 | 40 |
| Degree-Granting Inst. | 19,446 | 2,489 | 21,935 | 11% | 1,308 | 1,234 | 1,111 | 30% |
| CEES | 48 | * \$68.00 m & 4.00 m | 100 to | | | | | |
| UMBI | 46 | | | | | | | |
| SWA | 19.540 | | | | | | | |

Tenured and tenure-track faculty, other full-time instructional and research faculty, and department chairs.

** N of Exceptions/(Course Units Taught + N of Exemptions)

*** Excluding professional schools: law, medicine, dentistry and pharmacy,

DATA REFLECT TEACHING EXPECTATIONS PRIOR TO FULL IMPLEMENTATION OF UMS POLICY

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Analysis of Course Exceptions by Type Fall 1994 - Spring 1995

THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND SYSTEM

Table 2

Faculty Instructional Workload

| # of Course Unit Exceptions to Expected Teaching Leaching | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|-------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Instruction Administrat.** Research Research Profession Internal Public Sabbalical* Other Excellation Administrat.** Research Research Profession Internal Public Sabbalical* Other Excellation Administrat.** Research Research Profession Internal Public Sabbalical* Other Excellation Sabbalical* Other Excellation Sabbalical* Other Other Sabbalical* Other Sabbalical* Other Sabbalical* Other Sabbalical* Other Other | | | , | | # of Course | Unit Exception | ns to Expected | 1 Teaching Lo | ad by Type | | | Jo # |
| # 110 Administrat.** Research region Profession internal | | | | Department | External | Department | Service: | Service: | Service: | | | Course |
| # 110 35 4 0 11 8 9 13 # 11 37% 5% 4% 0% 12% 8% 9% 14% # 15 13 17 0 2 7 2 13 17% 9% 20% 17% 22% 4% 0% 12% 8% 17% 9% 20% 17% 22% 4% 0% 12% 9% 14% 20% 17% 32 14% 1% 9% 14% 9% 4 20 12% 3% 14% 1% 1% 1% 1% 20% 20% 20% 4% 2% 1% 45% 9% 4 15% 34% 10% 5% 3% 5% 6% 6% 1% 20% 13% 13% 10% 12% 1% 10% 10% 4 | 1 | | | Administrat ** | Rasearch | Research | Profession | Internal | Public | Sabbatical | Other | Except |
| # 11% 37% 55% 4% 0% 12% 8% 9% 14% 15 13 17 0 2 7 2 13 7 3 20% 17% 22% 0% 3% 9% 3% 17% 9% 52 37 22% 0% 3% 9% 3% 17% 9% 4 52 2% 4% 1% 1% 1% 1% 9% 30% 22% 2% 4% 1%< | Surunon | + | 1- | 35 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 80 | O | 13 | 95 |
| # 15 13 17 0 2 7 2 13 7 9% 20% 17% 22% 0% 3% 9% 3% 17% 9% 30% 22% 2% 4% 1% 8% 1% 31% 2% 30% 22% 2% 4% 1% 8% 1% 31% 2% 4 22 2% 4% 1% 8% 1% 31% 2% 4 20 2% 1% 1% 45% 6% </td <td>owe St. Un.</td> <td>k</td> <td>118</td> <td>37%</td> <td>2%</td> <td>**</td> <td>%0</td> <td>12%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>%6</td> <td>14%</td> <td>100%</td> | owe St. Un. | k | 118 | 37% | 2% | ** | %0 | 12% | 8% | %6 | 14% | 100% |
| 20% 17% 22% 0% 3% 9% 3% 17% 9% 52 37 3 7 2 13 1 53 4 52 30% 22% 2% 4% 1% 1% 31% 2% 4 22 2 4 1% 1% 31% 2% 14% 6% 13% 2% 1% 1% 31% 2% 14% 6% 13% 2% 1% 1% 31% 2% 14% 6% 13% 0 0 0 0 4 9 4 6% 13% 13% 0 <td< td=""><td>100 00 010 110</td><td>3</td><td>5 7</td><td>2 5</td><td>17</td><td>0</td><td>7</td><td>~</td><td>2</td><td>13</td><td>7</td><td>9/</td></td<> | 100 00 010 110 | 3 | 5 7 | 2 5 | 17 | 0 | 7 | ~ | 2 | 13 | 7 | 9/ |
| 4 52 37 3 7 2 13 1 53 4 30% 22% 2% 4% 1% 1% 8% 1% 31% 2% 4 2% 4% 1% 1% 8% 1% 31% 2% 4 28 11 26 0 8% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 2% 1% | oppin st. coll. | k | 7000 | 17% | 22% | %0 | 3% | % 8 | 3% | 17% | % 6 | 100% |
| 30% 22% 2% 4% 1% 6% 1% 31% 2% 28 11 26 4 2 20 0 88 16 14% 6% 13% 2% 1% 1% 0% 45% 8% 14% 6% 2% 1% 2% 1% 49% 45% 8% 15% 34% 10% 5% 3% 0% 20% 45% 8% 15% 34% 10% 5% 3% 0% 20% 8% 9% 2% 14% 0% 13% 0% 7% 10% 9 9 9 2% 14% 0% 14% 0% 13% 7% 10% | Of the Control of the | | 2,2 | 37 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 13 | - | 53 | 4 | 172 |
| 4 26 4 2 20 0 88 16 44% 6% 13% 2% 1% 10% 0% 45% 8% 45% 34% 10% 5% 3% 5% 0% 45% 8% 15% 34% 10% 5% 3% 5% 0% 45% 8% 2% 34% 10% 5% 3% 5% 0% 20% 49 9% 2% 19% 35% 14% 0% 13% 0% 7% 10% 2% 2% 13% 0% 7% 10% 9% 9% 2% 2% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 10% 3 3 3 4 4 1 6 63 11% 4% 37% 11% 4 16% 2% 1% < | rostouig at. Oit. | | 30% | 22% | 2% | ** | 1% | 8% | 1% | 31% | 2% | 100% |
| 4% 6% 13% 2% 1% 10% 0% 45% 6% 14% 6% 13% 2% 1% 2% 1% 49 15% 34% 10% 5% 3% 5% 0% 49 49 2% 14% 0% 13% 0% 7% 10% 8% 2% 19% 35% 14% 0% 13 0% 7% 10% 2% 2% 19% 35% 14% 0% 5% 4% 10% 2% 2% 6% 6% 5% 4% 1% 5% 2% 2% 6% 6% 5% 4% 1% 5% 2% 2% 2% 4% 1% 5% 4% 1% 2% 3% 2% 4% 37% 11% 5% 4 16% 2% 1% 4% 2% 1% 1% | oliobuse Ct The | - | 800 | 1 | 26 | * | 2 | 20 | 0 | 88 | 16 | 195 |
| # 17.0 20.0 62.2 27.7 17.7 28.6 0.0 12.0 49.8 15% 34% 10% 5% 3% 5% 0% 20% 8% 2% 14% 0% 13% 0% 7% 10% 8% 2% 19% 35% 14% 0% 13% 0% 7% 10% 4 2% 14% 0% 13% 0% 7% 10% 9 4 2% 23% 6% 6% 6% 5% 4% 1% 5% 22% 23% 23% 4% 2% 4% 37% 11% 4 164 130 167 106 10 6 6 6 6 6 6 9 7 4 164 130 167 17 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 | dispuiy of. On. | - | 14% | %9 | 13% | 2% | 1% | 10% | %0 | 45% | %8 | 100% |
| 15% 34% 10% 5% 3% 5% 3% 6% 20% 8% 2 2% 19% 35% 14% 0% 13% 0% 7% 10% 2 2% 19% 35% 14% 0% 13% 0% 7% 10% 2 2% 23% 28% 6% 6% 6% 5% 4% 1% 5% 2 2% 23% 28% 6% 6% 6% 5% 4% 1% 5% 11% 5% 1 3% 22% 24% 1% 4% 37% 11% 5% 2 0% 16% 21% 4% 2% 2% 21% 11% 2 0% 16% 21% 10 | 10 | 4 | 60 | | 62 | | | 28 | o | 120 | 49 | 595 |
| 2% 17 32 13 0 12 0 6 9 2% 19% 35% 14% 0% 13% 0% 7% 10% 4 30 32 38 6% 7% 10% 7% 10% 11% 5% 11% 5% 11% 5% 11% 5% 6% <th< td=""><td>owson St. Oil.</td><td></td><td>1504</td><td>•</td><td>10%</td><td></td><td></td><td>2%</td><td>%0</td><td>20%</td><td>8%</td><td>100%</td></th<> | owson St. Oil. | | 1504 | • | 10% | | | 2% | %0 | 20% | 8% | 100% |
| 2% 19% 35% 14% 0% 13% 0% 7% 10% 4 30 32 38 8 8 7 5 2 7 7 22% 23% 28% 6% 6% 6% 6% 5% 4% 1% 5% 13% 23% 23% 4% 2% 1% 4% 37% 11% 13% 164 130 167 106 10 27 19 10 20% 16% 21% 1% 2% 4% 37% 1% 20% 16% 21% 1% 3% 2% 21% 1% 20% 16% 21% 1% 3% 2% 4% 2% 4 84 2 0 0 0 0 7 34 16% 3% 4% 0% 0% 0% 0% 4% 2% <t< td=""><td>3</td><td>- 1</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>32</td><td></td><td></td><td>12</td><td>0</td><td>9</td><td>6</td><td>91</td></t<> | 3 | - 1 | 5 | | 32 | | | 12 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 91 |
| # 22% 32 38 8 8 7 5 2 7 4 1% 5% 4% 1% 5% 4% 5% 4% 5% 4% 5% 6% 6% 5% 6% 5% 4% 5% 1% 5% 1% 5% 1% 5% 1% 5% 1% 5% 1% 5% 1% 5% 1% 5% 1% 5% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 3% 2% | n. of Balumore | k | 790 | <u>.</u> | 35% | _ | | 13% | %0 | %/ | 10% | 100% |
| 22% 23% 28% 6% 6% 6% 5% 4% 1% 5% 22 9 39 7 4 1 6 63 18 13% 5% 23% 4% 2% 1% 4% 37% 11% 13% 164 130 16 10 10 10 10 11% 20% 16% 21% 13% 1% 3% 2% 21% 1% 4 84 2 0 0 0 0 7 34 16% 3% 54% 1% 0% 0% 4% 22% 440 488 473 178 7% 2% 21% 7% 16% 20% 19% 7% 2% 2% 2% 2% | | | 8 6 | | 38 | | | 7 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 137 |
| 4 22 9 39 7 4 1 6 63 18 13% 5% 23% 4% 2% 1% 4% 37% 11% 20% 164 130 167 106 10 27 19 170 10 4 20% 16% 21% 13% 1% 3% 2% 21% 1% 16% 3% 54% 1% 0% 0% 0% 4% 22% 440 488 473 178 7% 2% 21% 7% 16% 20% 19% 7% 2% 21% 7% | JWAB | * | מילים | | 7860 | -ŭ | | 2% | ** | | 2% | 100% |
| # 22 # 37% 11% 13% 5% 23% 4% 2% 1% 4% 37% 11% 20% 164 163 167 106 10 27 19 170 10 # 20% 16% 21% 13% 2% 21% 1% # 25 4 84 2 0 0 0 7 34 16% 3% 54% 1% 0% 0% 4% 22% # 440 488 473 178 45 5% 2% 21% 7% 18% 20% 19% 7% 2% 21% 7% | | : | Q.77 | 57 | 207 | | | - | 9 | | 18 | 169 |
| # 13% 5% 23% 4% 2% 2% 2% 170 10 20% 16% 21% 106 10 27 19 170 10 4 20% 21% 13% 1% 3% 2% 21% 1% 16% 3% 54% 1% 0% 0% 0% 4% 22% 4 488 473 178 45 126 41 531 167 4 20% 19% 7% 2% 2% 2% 7% | JMBC | * | 77 | • | 200 | | | +6 | 78 | | 11% | 100% |
| # 164 130 167 106 10 27 19 170 18 170 18 170 18 170 18 170 18 18 20% 21% 18 34 25 21% 18 34 25 21% 18 34 25 34 4% 22% | | | 13% | | | | | 2 (| ? ? | | • | Č |
| 20% 16% 21% 13% 1% 3% 2% 21% 1% 4 84 2 0 0 0 7 34 16% 3% 54% 1% 0% 0% 0% 4% 22% # 440 488 473 178 45 126 41 531 167 18% 20% 19% 7% 2% 2% 21% 7% | JMCP | * | 16 | | _ | | | 2/ | ב ב | | 2 3 | |
| # 25 4 84 2 0 0 0 7 34 34 16% 16% 3% 54% 1% 0% 0% 0% 4% 22% 178 45 126 41 531 167 34 18% 20% 19% 7% 2% 5% 2% 21% 7% 7% 18% 16% 19% 7% 2% 5% 2% 21% 7% 18% | | | 20% | | 21% | _ | | 3% | 2% | | % | אַחַחוּ |
| 16% 3% 54% 1% 0% 0% 0% 4% 22% # 440 488 473 178 45 126 41 531 167 18% 20% 19% 7% 2% 5% 2% 21% 7% | MES | *1 | 25 | | 84 | | | 0 | 0 | _ | ह | 156 |
| # 440 488 473 178 45 126 41 531 167 18% 20% 19% 7% 2% 2% 21% 7% |) MIC | <u> </u> | 16% | | | | | %0 | %0 | | 22% | 100% |
| 18% 20% 19% 7% 2% 5% 21% 7% | | ** | 440 | | | | | 126 | -7 | | 167 | |
| | 2 | - | 18% | | | | | 2% | 2% | | 7% | 100% |

See Table 3 for the number of faculty on sabbatical.

** These are exceptions for faculty other than department chairs, whose reduced loads are factored in through a reduced expected teaching load. This is different from last year's report, in which the reduced loads of most department chairs (except for UMCP and UMBC) were classified as "exceptions." ***Excluding professional schools: law, medicine, dentistry and pharmacy,

IMSA OAA: Nov-..c DATA REFLECT TEACHING EXPECTATIONS PRIOR TO ADPRION OF THE UMS WORKLOAD POLICY

Analysis of Course Exceptions by Type. Table 2 is an analysis of the number of exceptions to the expected teaching load by type:

- Externally funded research accounted for 19% of course load exceptions; most of these exceptions were found in the UMS research institutions.
- 21% of the exceptions to the standard load were for faculty members on sabbatical. As shown in Table 3, the *number* of faculty on either one semester or one year's sabbatical was 199, 5.4% of all tenured and tenure-track faculty lower than last year's 6.5%.

Table 3
THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND SYSTEM
Number of Faculty on Sabbatical

Fall 1994 - Spring 1995

| | # of Faculty | Total # of | % of Faculty | # of Course |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| | On Sabbatical* | Faculty** | On Sabbatical | Exceptions |
| Bowie St. Un. | 3 | 100 | 3.0% | 9 |
| Coppin St. Coll. | 3 | 99 | 3.0% | 13 |
| Frostburg St. Un. | 14 | 218 | 6.4% | 54 |
| Salisbury St. Un. | 22 | 19 9 | 11.1% | 88 |
| Towson St. Un. | 27 | 449 | 6.0% | 120 |
| Un. of Baltimore | 3 | 155 | 1.9% | 6 |
| UMAB | 16 | 6 68 | 2.4% | n. a . |
| UMBC | 20 | 316 | 6.3% | 63 |
| UMCP | 89 | 1,384 | 6.4% | 170 |
| UMES | 2 | 72 | 2.8% | 7 |
| UMS | 199 | 3.660 | 5.4% | 530 |

^{*} Either one semester or one year sabbatical.

- Departmental administration accounted for 20% of course load exceptions.
- Instruction-related demands accounted for 18%, "other" for 7%, and service for 9% of course load exceptions.
- The 1994-1995 overall distribution of exceptions by type was very similar to that reported for the 1993-1994 time period.



^{**} Tenured and tenure-track faculty and departmental administrators...

Non-Instructional Productivity. Table 4 is a summary of the UMS faculty non-instructional (mostly scholarly) productivity. The data by institution is provided as a ratio of FTEF³

- \$315 million was obtained by the UMS institutions in externally funded research and training grants awarded to faculty members (this figure does not include institutional grants such as Title III). In the UMS research institutions, the ratio per FTEF was \$337,000 at UMBI, \$189,000 at CEES, \$92,998 at UMCP, \$83,000 at UMAB, and \$71,000 at UMBC. The ratio for UB and UMES was around \$35,000 per FTEF.
- The UMS faculty published 800 books, more than 8,000 peer reviewed articles, and made more than 10,000 professional presentations; and
- The UMS faculty spent 10-20 days per faculty member in service to business, government, schools, their institutions, and their profession.

Student Credit Hours Generated by the Core Faculty. Table 5 shows the number of student credit hours (SCH) generated by the core faculty by upper division, lower division, and graduate. At the lower division level, 51% of all SCH were generated by core faculty. This is the level for all introductory and freshman courses. At the upper division level, 59% of all SCH were generated by core faculty. Finally, at the graduate level, 71% of all SCH were generated by core faculty. These data show that the UMS institutions are responsive to the concern expressed by members of the General Assembly that undergraduate students be exposed to the talents of more experienced tenured and tenure-track faculty.

Impact of the Regents' Policy. Table 6 shows the number of departments that will increase their standard load as a result of the Regents' policy. This table also shows the number of departments exempted from the institutional policy, as provided by the Regents'policy⁴.

- All departments at Bowie, Coppin, Frostburg, Towson, and UMES have a standard load of eight courses, and no increases are necessary to comply with the Regents' policy. All departments at Salisbury, except those in the School of Business, have a standard load of eight courses; the standard load for the business departments will continue to be six courses a year, following accreditation requirements.
- The University of Baltimore will increase the standard load (from 6 to 7 courses) for all departments except business, which will increase from 5 to 6 courses (as determined by accreditation standards). Public administration will continue its

The Regents' policy states that "...there may be differences across departments of an institution, as approved by the president."



Я

FTE core faculty.

Table 4 THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND SYSTEM Faculty Non-Instructional Productivity Per Full-Time Equivalent Faculty*

Fall 1994 - Spring 1995**

| | ri S\$ | | | | # of | jo # | # of Days |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|---|
| | Externally-Funded | # of Books | # of Refereed | # of Non-Ref. | Creative | Professional | Spent in |
| | Grants & Contracts*** | Published | Publications | Publications | Activities | Presentations | Public Serv. |
| Institution | Ratio Per FTEF | Ratio Per FTEF | Ratio Per FTEF | Ratio Per FTEF | Ratio Per FTEF | Ratio Per FTEF | Ratio Per FTEF Ratio Per FTEF Ratio Per FTEF Ratio Per FTEF |
| Bowie St. University | \$7,785 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 9.0 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 17.4 |
| Coppin St. College | \$11,238 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 20.8 |
| Frostburg St. University | | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 11.7 |
| Salisbury St. University | | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 8.0 | 1.6 | 9.1 |
| Towson St. University | | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 14.0 |
| Un. of Baltimore | \$35,189 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 22.7 |
| UMAB | \$82,779 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 10.2 |
| UMBC | \$71,298 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 10.8 |
| UMCP | \$92,998 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 13.0 |
| UMES | \$36,591 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 6.0 | 17.5 |
| CEES | \$189,591 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 1.8 | n.a. | 9.4 | 4.0 |
| UMBI | \$337,705 | 0.5 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 4.6 | 16.2 |
| | | | | | | | |
| All UMS - Total Units | \$314,779,750 | 801 | 8,331 | 9,585 | 4,676 | 10,644 | 56,492 |
| | | T | | | | ************************************** | |

FTEF tenured/fenure track faculty (excluding department chairs) and full-time instructional and research faculty.

** For some institutions, the data are for the 1993-1994 academic year.

••• Does not include grants awarded to non-department units; e.g., Title III grants.

**** Including the professional schools: law, medicine, dentistry and pharmacy.

UMSA: OAA: Nov-95

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 5 THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND SYSTEM Student Credit Hours Generated by Core Faculty* - By Level -

Fall 1994 - Spring 1995

LOWER DIVISION STUDENT CREDIT HOURS

| # of LD | % of Total LD | Total Lower |
|---------|--|--|
| | SCH | Division SCH |
| | 57% | 34,547 |
| 1 ' 1 | 46% | 48, 538 |
| 1 | 70% | 65,184 |
| 1 1 | 51% | 77,612 |
| 1 ' 1 | | 170, 597 |
| 1 1 | | 3.237 |
| 1 1 | | 0 |
| | 37% | 106,427 |
| | | 345,063 |
| 1 1 | | 52. 62 6 |
| 15,364 | 2970 | 52,021 |
| 456 757 | 51% | 9 03,83 |
| | # of LD SCH 19,800 22,212 45,910 39,558 105,429 1,725 0 39,815 166,948 15,364 | SCH SCH 19,800 22,212 45,910 39,556 105,429 62% 1,725 0 39,815 166,946 SCH 57% 46% 46% 46% 46% 46% 46% 46% 46% 46% 46 |

UPPER DIVISION STUDENT CREDIT HOURS

| UPPER DIVISION ST | # of LD | % of Total LD | Total Upper |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| | SCH | SCH | Division SCH |
| Bowie St. Un. | 12,764 | 53% | 24,215 20,7 80 |
| Coppin St. Coll. | 11,437 36,879 | 55% 72% | 51, 203 |
| Frostburg St. Un. Salisbury St. Un. | 39,495 | 70% | 56,223 |
| Towson St. Un. | 81,601 | 6 6% 54% | 122, 842 37, 68 1 |
| Un. of Baltimore | 20,473 6,238 | 34% | 18. 464 |
| UMAB** UMBC | 47,787 | 46% | 102,979 |
| UMCP | 151,938 | 6 0% 36% | 252, 292 24, 73 1 |
| UMES | 8,957 | 3674 | |
| UMS | 417,569 | 59% | 711,410 |

GRADUATE STUDENT CREDIT HOURS

| GRADUATE STUDEN | # of LD | % of Total LD | Total Graduate |
|-------------------|---------|---------------|-----------------|
| | SCH | SCH | SCH |
| Bowie St. Un. | 6,947 | 60% | 11,614 |
| | 3,497 | 5 5% | 6,359 |
| Coppin St. Coll. | 5,192 | 6 5 % | 8,048 |
| Frostburg St. Un. | 3.310 | 70% | 4,739 |
| Salisbury St. Un. | 10,941 | 72% | 15, 09 7 |
| Towson St. Un. | 36,767 | 82% | 44,97 |
| Un. of Baltimore | 15.590 | 49% | 31,91 |
| UMAB** | 10,341 | 67% | 15,48 |
| UMBC | 1 1 | 8 0% | 84,79 |
| UMCP | 67,971 | 37% | 3. 89 |
| UMES | 1,430 | 31 A | |
| UMS | 161,986 | 71% | 226. 90 |

^{*} Tenured and tenure-track faculty.

UMSA:OAA: Nov-95



^{**} Excluding the professional schools: law, medicine, dentistry and pharmacy.

current standard (6 courses), as will the law school (4 courses, as determined by accreditation standards).

- The University of Maryland Baltimore County will increase the standard load of three departments (computer science, African-American studies, and sociology & anthropology) from 4 to 5 courses. In accordance with the Regents' policy, UMBC has granted exceptions from the institutional standard of five courses to nine departments: biology, chemistry & biochemistry, information systems management. mathematics, mechanical engineering, physics, geography, policy sciences, and psychology. Generally, these departments obtain significant outside research support and are at the core of UMBC's mission. If, however, the Regents' policy is revised so that the expected load of faculty whose salary is paid, to some extent, by research grants, then UMBC's standard load for all departments will be at least five course units and there will be no departmental exceptions.
- The University of Maryland College Park will not grant any blanket departmental exception to the institutional standard of five courses. "Teaching is an integral part of our faculty's responsibilities, and all instructional faculty are expected to play a significant role in that regard. Obviously, faculty who have external grant funds will have an opportunity to be awarded individual exceptions, and some departments will have a larger proportion of such exceptions. But eachindividual faculty member will need to justify his or her research productivity in order to receive individual reductions."5

Finally, Table 7 shows (as requested by the budget language) the UMS decrease in the number of faculty who taught the standard load if the new increased standards had been in effect at the time. While 1,535 faculty taught the standard in 1994-1995, only 1,279 would have been so classified had the increased standards been in effect.

Departmental Summary. A set of institutional tables summarizes the departmental data. For each department, the following data are included: the standard teaching load of the tenured and tenure-track faculty, and the total number of course exceptions, the number of faculty who taught the standard load or more, the number of faculty granted course exceptions, and selected indicators (as a ratio of FTEF) of non-instructional productivity, e.g., books published, grants and contracts. The departments are grouped by general discipline area (humanities, sciences, education, etc.).

Memo of October 24, 1995 from President Kirwan to Chancellor Langenberg



Table 6
THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND SYSTEM
Analysis of Departmental Exceptions to Teaching Load
- When UMS Policy is Fully Implemented -

| | Institut. | # of Departments | # of Departments |
|-------------------|-----------|------------------------|---------------------|
| | Load* | Increasing Standard | With Exceptions |
| Bowie St. Un. | 8 | | 0 |
| Coppin St. Coll. | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Frostburg St. Un. | 7-8 | 0 | 0 |
| Salisbury St. Un. | 8 | 0 | 4 |
| Towson St. Un. | 8 | 0 | j o |
| Un. of Baltimore | 7 | 5 | 3 |
| UMAB** | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| UMBC | 5 | 3 | 9 |
| UMCP | 5 | 48 | 0 |
| UMES | .8 | 0 | 0 |
| UMS | | 54 | 7 |

^{*} Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty.

UMSA:OAA:

Table 7

THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND SYSTEM

Decrease in the Number of Faculty Who Taught Standard Load

Had Future Expected Loads Been in Place This Year

| | Decrease in # of Faculty |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | Teaching Expected Load |
| Bowie St. Un. | 0 |
| Coppin St. Coll. | 0 |
| Frostburg St. Un. | 0 |
| Salisbury St. Un. | 0 |
| Towson St. Un. | 0 |
| Un. of Baltimore | . 117 to 108 |
| UMAB* | 0 |
| UMBC | 292 to 284 |
| UMCP | 1126 to 887 |
| UMES | 0 |
| UMS | 1535 to 1279 |

^{*} Excluding the professional schools.



Excluding the professional schools: medicine, dentistry, law & pharmacy.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

This report shows that solid progress has been made in increasing the productivity and accountability of the UMS faculty - the impact of the Regents' policy is already detectable. These increases in productivity are congruent with the goals adopted by the Regents in "Toward a State of Learning" (Vision III).

The data reported by the institutions also suggest possible refinements to the reporting format:

- In the research institutions, the significant number of faculty whose salary is paid, to some extent, by research grants suggests that their expected load could be modified accordingly.
- Refinements may be incorporated to further encourage accountability at the department level.
- The format may include the number of "contact hours" generated by the faculty, a useful indicator of faculty productivity in some disciplines, such as art, music, lab sciences, etc.



For example, at UMCP, 138 FTEF are supported with outside funds.

BOWIE STATE UNIVERSITY Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department

| | | 94-95 | 94-95 Instructional Workload | rkload | | | 94-95 Non- | Instruction | 94-95 Non-Instructional Productivity - RATIO PER FIEF | y - RATIO | ER FIEF |
|--|---------------|------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---|-----------|--------------------|
| | 7 | Total | # Of Fac | # of | Jo % | | | 10 | jo# | | # Days in |
| | Standard | l Old | Mbo Taucht | Tacilly A | Facility | | jo # | Creative | Profession. | \$s in | Public |
| | Load of | 5 | Ctondard | M/ Course | W/ Course | # of Books | Publicat. | Activities | Presentat. | Research | Service |
| | ဦး (၁) | Course | Stainard Co. | VV/ Course | Exceptions | nar FTFF | oer FTEF | Der FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF |
| Department | Faculty | Except | Load & More | CACEDUOIIS | - According | | 70 | 3.3 | 0.7 | \$0 | 3.2 |
| Communications | 80 | 0 | 1 | > • | 8 7 | - 6 | 7 | 13.3 | - | | 14.8 |
| Fine Arts | 80 | - | O | | 2 |)) | • | 9 | | • | , |
| ; | • | ď | 7.0 | ~ | 10% | 00 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 20 | 21.7 |
| Nat. Science & Math | 0 0 | 0 ; | 17 | י ער | 48% | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | \$0 | 10.6 |
| Comp Science | 10 00 | = = | |) 4 | 100% | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 | \$ | 20.5 |
| Nursing | • | _ |) | • | | | | | | | ? |
| Bness/Pub Admin/Econ | 60 | 16 | 27 | 4 | 13% | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0\$ | 1/.4 |
| to and a contract to | α | 18 | 14 | 7 | 33% | 0.0 | 0.1 | 9.0 | 1 4 | 0\$ | 13.2 |
| Cuccauon & Frigs. Lu. | | | | | | | | | | 9 | 16.2 |
| Behav, Sc/Human Serv. | | 10 | 17 | 4 | 19% | | 0.0 | - C | 2 0 | | 10.4 |
| Hist/Pol Sc/Internat St | 89 | 9 | 80 | e | 27% | | 2 0. | 7.7 | | | 2 5 |
| Fnolish Modern Land | 80 | 16 | 15 | 9 | 29% | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | ი: | A | 10.2 |
| | | | | | | | - | 17 | 0.80 | | 17.4 |
| BSU | | 95 | 131 | 35 | Q77 | - | 2 | | | | MACA OAA Nov. 1005 |
| • The types of exceptions vary by department. See depart | is vary by de | spartment. | See departmen | rtmental reports. | | | | | | SWOA.CAN | 100. 1995 |

50



(T)



25

COPPIN STATE COLLEGE
Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department

| | Standard | | | | | _ | | | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| | | Total | # of Fac. | Jo * | % of | | | Jo # | Jo# | | # Days in |
| | Load of | jo * | Who Taught | Faculty | Faculty | | jo # | Creative | Profession. | s in | Public |
| 800 | Core | Course | Standard | W/ Course | W/ Course | # of Books | Publicat. | Activities | Presentat. | Research | Service |
| <u> </u> | Faculty | Except. | Load & More | Exceptions | Exceptions | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF |
| Lang/Lit/Journ/Phil. Math & Comp. Science | 8 | 19.67 | 5 | 3 | 38% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 1.1 | 0\$ | 0.0 |
| Math & Comp. Science | 80 | * | 13 | 2 | 13% | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0\$ | 26.1 |
| Math & Comp Science | | | 1 | 1 | | (| (| · · | • | | 6 |
| | ∞ | 11.33 | 9 | n | 33% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | \$20,509 | 0.87 |
| Natural Science | 9 | 9 | 7 | က | 30% | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 9.0 | \$28,111 | 22.8 |
| Nursino | - α | 7 | = | _ | 8% | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.3 | \$32,332 | 44.0 |
| | ļ | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| Management Science | 80 | 0 | 7 | 0 | %0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0\$ | 7.8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| General and Adult Ed | 60 | 2 | က | - | 25% | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.3 | \$0 | 30.0 |
| Curriculum & Instruction | 6 0 | 10 | ന | 6 | 20% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 9.0 | \$8,000 | 28.2 |
| Hith/Phys Ed/Rec/Dang | 00 | 2 | 6 | | 25% | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 0.7 | \$46,030 | 72.7 |
| Social Education | oc | 7 | 2 | 7 | 29% | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | \$26,750 | 29.0 |
| |) | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Psych & Rehab Couns | 80 | 4 | 3 | - | 17% | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 1.6 | \$ | 10.6 |
| Criminal Justice | •0 | 9 | 4 | _ | 20% | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | \$5,341 | 4.0 |
| Hist/Geo/Internat St | · œ | 13 | 2 | 6 | %09 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | \$17,353 | 23.0 |
| Social Sc/Soc Work | 80 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 25% | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0 \$ | 13.0 |
| | | 76 | 80 | 26 | 72% | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 8.0 | \$11,238 | 20.8 |
| | | 2 | | | | | | | | 3 | 4006 |

FROSTBURG STATE UNIVERSITY
Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department

| | | 94-95 | 94-95 Instructional Workload | orkioad | | | 94-95 Non | Instruction | al Productivi | 94-95 Non-Instructional Productivity - RATIO PER FTEF | ER FTEF |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---|-----------|
| | Standard | Total | # of Fac. | Jo # | % of | | | Jo # | ¥ of | | # Days in |
| | Load of | 50 | Who Taught | Faculty | Faculty | | ₩ of | Creative | Profession. | \$s in | Public |
| | Core | Course | Standard | W/ Course | W/ Course | # of Books | Publicat. | Activities | Presentat. | Research | Service |
| Department | Faculty | Except. | Load & More | Exceptions | Exceptions | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF |
| Communic/Theatre | 7 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 30% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 1.2 | \$259 | 8.2 |
| Foolish | 7 | 31 | 10 | 11 | 52% | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.1 | \$292 | 6.1 |
| Misic | 7 | 0 | 80 | 0 | %0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 20.0 | 3.3 | 0\$ | 16.4 |
| Philosophy | · 60 | က | 5 | 0 | %0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0\$ | 26.7 |
| Visual Arts | 7 | 0 | OS. | 0 | %0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 2.9 | \$5,369 | 16.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | , |
| Biology | 7 | 10 | 10 | ▼ | 78% | 0.1 | 9.0 | 1.5 | 1.8 | \$9,992 | 0.8 |
| Chemistry | _ | 0 | 2 | 0 | %0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | \$131 | 1.0 |
| Computer Science | · « | 2 | 80 | - | 11% | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | \$28,193 | 25.2 |
| Mathematics | ec. | 2 | 11 | 2 | 15% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.9 | 8.0 | \$7,083 | 16.4 |
| Physics | 2 | 2 | * | 3 | 43% | 0.2 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 3.5 | \$3,877 | 14.5 |
| • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Accounting | 89 | 19 | 4 | 9 | %09 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 0\$ | 12.0 |
| Business Administration | σ | 16 | 6 | 7 | 44% | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 | = | \$655 | 12.4 |
| MBA Department | 7 | 17 | | 13 | 93% | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | \$48 | 11.8 |
| | | 10 | | | 10% | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.8 | \$3,067 | 19.0 |
| Educational Prof. | | 2 | | 1 | | | | 7 7 7 | | | 7 0 |
| Health, Phys Ed & Rec | | 24 | . | 12 | ₹ | | † .0 | <u> </u> | | 72°C | † 5 |
| Economics | 80 | - 7 | 9 | | 14% | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | \$3,000 | 2.5 |
| Geography | 7 | 4 | o | 2 | 18% | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 6.0 | \$18,441 | 10.2 |
| History | 80 | 0 | & | • | %0 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | \$4,643 | 4.6 |
| Political Science | 80 | 9 | 9 | e | 33% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.5 | \$0 | 4.1 |
| Spcial Work | 8 | 4 | C | • | 25% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | \$200 | 12.5 |
| | | | | | | 3 | 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | İ | • | | | | |

ERIC

FROSTBURG STATE UNIVERSITY Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department

| | | 94-95 | 94-95 Instructional Workload | rkload | | | 94-95 Non- | -Instruction | 94-95 Non-Instructional Productivity - RATIO PER FTEF | ty - RATIO | PER FTEF |
|---------------------|----------|---------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|---|-------------|-----------|
| | Standard | Total | # of Fac. | jo# | % of | | | # of | t o * | | # Days in |
| | Load of | jo * | Who Taught | Faculty | Faculty | | # of | Creative | Creative Profession. | \$s in | Public |
| | Core | Course | Standard | W/ Course | | W/Course # of Books Publicat. | Publicat. | Activities | Activities Presentat. Research | Research | Service |
| Department | Faculty | Except. | Load & More | Exceptions Exceptions per FTEF | Exceptions | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF per FTEF per FTEF | per FTEF | |
| Sociology | 80 | 3 | 4 | - | 20% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | \$8,750 | 18.8 |
| Psychology | 7 | 80 | 10 | 2 | 17% | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | \$0 | 10.5 |
| Foreign Lang & Lit. | 80 | 0 | 80 | 0 | %0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 2.2 | 1.6 | \$1,703 | 6.4 |
| Fsu | | 172 | 168 | 74 | 31% | 0.1 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 \$4,263 | 11.7 |

* The types of exceptions vary by department. See departmental reports.



28 27

DATA REFLECT TEACHING EXPECTATIONS PRIOR TO FUI ' IMPLEMENTATION OF UMS POLICY

SALISBURY STATE UNIVERSITY

Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department

| | | 94-95 | 94-95 Instructional Wo | Workload | | | 94-95 Non- | Instruction | Productivi | 94-95 Non-Instructional Productivity - RATIO PER FTEF | ER FTEF |
|-------------------------|------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|------------|-------------|---|---|-----------|
| | Chandard | Total | | jo # | Jo % | | jo# | Jo # | jo # | | # Days in |
| | Joseph J | J O * | Who Taught | Faculty | Faculty | | Publicat. | Creative | Profession. | \$s in | Public |
| | | Course | Standard | W/ Course | W/ Course | # of Books | Publicat. | Activities | Presentat. | Research | Service |
| | 2 di 6 di | Event. | Load & More | Exceptions | Exceptions | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF |
| Department | Lacourk | EACOPA. | | 2 | 33% | 0.0 | 00 | 2.3 | 0.2 | \$167 | 8.0 |
| Art | 0 | 1 0 | • | 1 " | 23% | 0.0 | 0.2 | 7. | 1.7 | 0\$ | 9.5 |
| Communication Arts | × | | 2 |) (| 200 | | • | | 1 | \$388 | 8.6 |
| English | 80 | 29 | 80 | 30 | _ ₹ 00 00 | - o | - | י כ | - 6 | | 40, |
| Meisir | 00 | 4 | 5 | - | 17% | 0.0 | 1.0 | 8.5 | | ^ | C.8. |
| Philosophy | · & | 0 | 4 | 0 | %0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 2.8 | \$300 | 3.3 |
| Andocomic |) | | | | | | | | _ | | |
| i | α | 22 | 13 | 60 | 38% | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.2 | ======================================= | \$8,032 | 9.5 |
| biology | | 77 | 1 | | 8% | 0 1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | \$5,769 | 2.7 |
| Cheinistry | Ď | | 7 . | | 86 | | | 0 | 0.7 | \$0 | 3.7 |
| Med Tech/Resp Ther | 8 | 0 | • |) | 8 | 5 | | | | | , |
| Math & Comp Sc | | 19 | 10 | 7 | 41% | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | _ | | |
| Main & Comp. Co. | α | _ | 12 | * | 25% | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.3 | \$4,375 | 5.1 |
| Nursing | - | • | | • | 1707 | 0.0 | 0 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | \$7,833 | 1.3 |
| Physics | | 7 | n | • | = | | <u> </u> | | _ | | |
| • | | | · · | 0 | %0 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.3 | \$46,033 | 17.4 |
| Accounting/Legal St. | | | | | 33% | | 2.6 | 7.0 | 3.3 | \$35,385 | 17.4 |
| Marketing & Mgment. | o (| - | | • | 144 | | | | 1.6 | 20 | 0.4 |
| Economics & Finance | . | 4 | - | | | | | | _ | | 9 |
| Info. & Decision Sc. | 9 | 0 | | | * | 00 | 7.3 | o: | <u>*</u> : | | - |
| 1 2 1 | | | | · • | 798 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 2.4 | \$1,645 | 2.3 |
| Education | | | | | | | | - | ₹************************************ | \$156 | 13.6 |
| Physical Ed. | ~ | 13 | - | ₹ | ደ ጋ | _ | | | | | |
| ndo socio | | - | 9 | 2 | 25% | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0\$ | |
| Cooglaphy | | | ▼ | | 20% | 4.0 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | | 9.5 |
| History & Adminiphology | HIS A SOL | | | | | | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25 | 20.0 |
| Leisure Studies | Not Applic | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department SALISBURY STATE UNIVERSITY

| | | 94-951 | 84-95 Instructional Workload | rkload | | | 94-95 Non | -Instruction | al Productivi | 94-95 Non-Instructional Productivity - RATIO PER FTEF | PER FTEF |
|---|--------------|------------|------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------------|---|-----------|
| | Standard | Total | # of Fac. | Jo # | € of | | # of | jo # | J 0 # | | # Days in |
| | Load of | jo * | Who Taught | Faculty | Faculty | | Publicat. | Creative | Creative Profession. | \$s in | Public |
| | Core | Course | Standard | W/ Course | W/ Course | # of Books Publicat. | Publicat. | Activities | Presentat. | Research | Service |
| Department | Faculty | Except.* | Except.* Load & More | Exceptions | Exceptions per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF |
| Political Sc. | 80 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 20% | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0\$ | 0.5 |
| Psychology | æ | 12 | 80 | S | 38% | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | \$33,372 | 3.0 |
| Social Work | & | 2 | * | - | 20% | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0\$ | 4.4 |
| Sociology | 80 | * | က | - | 25% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0\$ | 0.8 |
| Modern Foreign Lang. | ω | 0 | S | 0 | %0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0\$ | 9.0 |
| SSU | , | 195 | 180 | 64 | 26% | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.6 | \$7,314 | 9.1 |
| • The types of exception vary by department. See deparm | vary by dep | artment Se | | ental reports. | | | | |) | UMSA:OAA: | Nov-95 |

The types of exception vary by department. See departmental reports.

30

TOWSON STATE UNIVERSITY

Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department

| | | 1 30 10 | Morking Morking | rkload | | | 94-95 Non- | Instruction | 94-95 Non-Instructional Productivity - RATIO PER FTEF | y - RATIO | ER FTEF |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---|-----------|-----------|
| • | | - CD-+D | Isuacaona: | 30 * | \$ of | | | jo ** | jo# | | # Days in |
| | Standard | otal | # OI Fac. | | Faculty | | , ** | Creative | Profession. | \$s in | Public |
| | Load of | 1 0 1 | VIIIO I augilii | 1 acumy | W/Course | # of Books | Publicat | Activities | Presentat. | Research | Service |
| | Core | Course | Sidilidalu | ocino /AA | Expertions | PALETEE | ner FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF |
| Department | Faculty | Except. | Load & More | Excepnous | CACEDUOIIS | 2 | | 2.1 | 0.0 | \$118 | 8.5 |
| Art | 80 | 19 | 13 | S | %8Z | <u> </u> | - · | 7.7 | 4 G | 60000 | 0 10 |
| | α | æ | | 3 | 75% | 00 | 0.7 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 580,54 | <u>0</u> |
| Dance | o | , | 90 | 13 | 33% | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 8.0 | \$2,573 | 3.1 |
| English | × | os Os | 07 | 2 | 3000 | | 0.0 | 60 | 0.7 | \$984 | 11.6 |
| Music | 80 | 23 | 18 | 7 0 | 877 - | C.) | 4.0 | | | ¢6.475 | 0.9 |
| Speech & Mass Comm | 80 | 37 | 13 | 11 | 4 6% | 0.2 | 0. | C - | | | 9 6 |
| Theatre Arts | 80 | 17 | - | 6 | %06 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 13.1 | 4 . | \$233 | 0.07 |
| | | | | | | • | • | - | | ¢033 | 46.4 |
| Cippens | 80 | 12 | 18 | 2 | 22% | 0.1 | c:0 | - - | | 7000 | |
| Diological Sciences | | . ■ | σ: | _ | 10% | 9.0 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 7.0 | \$2,667 | 3.7 |
| Chemistry | 0 | - | | - | 40% | 02 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 2.9 | 0\$ | 43.4 |
| Comm. Sc & Disorders | ΣĢ. | 9 ; | | - | | | 16 | 0.0 | 0.5 | \$5,465 | 10.3 |
| Computer & Info Sc. | ∞ | 21 | | | | | | | 7 | \$10.086 | 43.6 |
| Health Science | 8 | 15 | | * | | | - · | | 7 | \$5,001 | 8.6 |
| Mathematics | σ | 79 | 14 | | 44% | | ი ე | | |) • | 9 6 |
| Mallionance | · α | 7 | - | - | 31% | 0.1 | 9.0 | 0.5 | | | 7 |
| Nursing | | - | | _ | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | \$4,912 | 13.6 |
| Occupational Therapy | ю | D | | | | | | - | 7, | \$7,707 | 14.4 |
| Physics | & | 17 | - | • | K / 7 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 83% | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 9.0 | \$ | 16.8 |
| Accounting | . | | | | | _ | | 00 | 0.0 | 9 | 0.0 |
| Finance | & | | 7 | | | | | | | | 00 |
| Management | & | 39 | 4 | 12 | ₹ c/ | | | | | | |
| | | 7 | - | | 13% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | D |)) |
| Markeung | , | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | - 6 | 40% | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 3.8 | \$16,600 | |
| Early Childhood Ed | | | | | 7467 | 0 | 0.50 | 0.0 | 0 2.3 | 0\$ | 26.8 |
| Elementary Education | | 13 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

32

ERIC

TOWSON STATE UNIVERSITY
Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department

| Standard Total # of Fac Load of | to Fac. # of to Taught Faculty tandard W/ Course ad & More Exceptions 7 7 7 7 7 7 2 11 5 6 | | | # of Cr Publicat. Ac per FTEF per 0.5 0.3 | # of Creative Proper FTEF per PTEF per 1.3 | # of Profession. Presentat. per FTEF | # of Creative Profession. \$s in Public | # Days in Public |
|--|--|--------------------------|-------------|---|--|---|---|---------------------|
| Load of Core # of Course Who Taugh Standard Core Course Standard I Education 8 10 I Education 8 18 I Education 8 18 I Education 8 18 I Education 8 18 Inics 8 31 Env. Planning 8 8 I Science 8 12 I Science 8 58 gy/Anthropology 8 58 I Studies 8 0 | W/ Cours Exception | | <u>_</u> | | | ofession. esentat. er FTEF | \$s in | Public |
| Core Course Standard I Education 8 10 10 11 11 12 12 12 12 13 14 </th <th>W/ Cours Exception</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>esentat. er FTEF</th> <th></th> <th></th> | W/ Cours Exception | | | | | esentat. er FTEF | | |
| Faculty Except. Load & More 8 10 8 18 18 18 8 7 8 8 12 8 12 8 12 8 58 58 58 60 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 | More Exception 11 6 | | <u> </u> | | - | er FTEF | Research | Service |
| Education | | 50% 31% 45% 86% | 0.2 | 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 0.8 1.3 0.4 | , | per FTEF | per FTEF |
| I Education 8 18 1 ary Education 8 18 1 nics 8 31 7 Env. Planning 8 7 7 phy 8 12 1 phy 8 12 1 logy 8 58 58 gy/Anthropology 8 20 n Studies 8 0 | | 31% 45% 86% | 0.3 | 6.0 6.0 6.0 | 1.3 | 1.7 | \$2,869 | 5.3 |
| ary Education 8 18 nics 8 31 Env. Planning 8 7 Phy 8 12 Phy 8 12 I Science 8 12 logy 8 58 gy/Anthropology 8 20 n Studies 8 0 | | 45% 86% | 0.3 | 9:0 | † .0 | 3.6 | 0\$ | 14.5 |
| bics 8 31 Env. Planning 8 7 phy thy I Science 8 12 logy 8 58 gy/Anthropology 8 20 Studies 8 0 | | | 0.2 | 6:0 | | 2.7 | \$0 | 15.0 |
| nics 8 31 Env. Planning 8 7 B 7 Phy 8 12 I Science 8 12 logy 8 58 gy/Anthropology 8 20 n Studies 8 0 | | 86% | 0.2 | 6.0 | | | | |
| Env. Planning 8 7 8 8 12 phy 1 Science 8 12 logy 8 58 gy/Anthropology 8 20 n Studies 8 0 | | | • | | 9.0 | 0.5 | 0\$ | 10.3 |
| bhy 8 12 I Science 8 12 I Science 8 58 gy/Anthropology 8 20 I Studies 8 0 | \$ | 44% | 0.3 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | \$12,125 | 3.3 |
| bhy 12 I Science 8 12 logy gy/Anthropology 8 20 n Studies 8 0 | 14 | 13% | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | \$0 | 4.80 |
| 8 12 8 58 pology 8 20 | 5 | 38% | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | \$0 | 0.0 |
| . 8 58 pology 8 20 | 9 | 40% | 0.1 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | \$0 | 57.3 |
| 3 20 8 20 8 0 0 8 0 | 7 21 | 75% | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 5.6 | \$0 | 11.4 |
| 8 | 9 | 31% | 0.0 | 4:0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | \$0 | 13.2 |
| | 9 | %0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | \$ | 0.0 |
| Modern Japanese 8 7 8 | 80 | | | 9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 9 | 5.9 |
| • | | | (| , | • | | | |
| TSU 595 283 | 283 204 | 42% | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 4/0/4 | 14.0 |

The types of exceptions vary by department. See departmental reports.

33

34

DATA REFLECT TEACHING EXPECTATIONS PRIOR TO FULL IMPLEMENTATION OF UMS POLICY

DATA REFLECT TEACHING EXPECTATIONS PRIOR TO FUL! "MPLEMENTATION OF UMS POLICY

THE UNIVERSITY OF BALTIMORE Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department

| | | 94-95 | 94-95 Instructional Workload | rkload | | | 94-95 Non | -Instruction | 94-95 Non-Instructional Productivity - RATIO PER FTEF | by - RATIO | PER FTEF |
|--|-------------|------------|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|---|------------------|-----------|
| | Chandard | Total | # of Fac | Jo * | | | | # of | Jo # | | # Days in |
| | רומותמות | 7 T | Mho Taught | Faculty | % of Faculty | | ţo # | Creative | Profession. | \$s in | Public |
| | TO DEOT | 5 | MANO LANGE | l doung | (man 1 10 8/ | | | ; | • | 40.000 | Connico |
| | Core | Course | Standard | W/ Course | W/ Course # of Books | # of Books | Publicat. | Activities | Presentat | Kesearch | SAIVICE |
| | Tage H | Event • | Load & More | Exceptions | Exceptions per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF |
| Пераптеп | racuity | - Ward | 7.7 | 4 | 28% | 0.3 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 1.5 | \$469 | 30.6 |
| Lang/Lit/Comm Des. | ρ | = | 2 | 7 | 2 | 9 | | | | | |
| we l Jo looks | * | 10 | 38 | S | 12% | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.5 | \$2,093 | 23.7 |
| Sci001 01 Law | | | | | | | | | | | |
| School of Business | 2 | 35 | 42 | 23 | 35% | 0.2 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 1.8 | \$56,421 | 15.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | _ (| <u> </u> | 7 | <i>с</i> т | 30% | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | \$7,474 | 17.9 |
| Psychodiani Memods | | | . ^ | | | 12 | 9.0 | 2.5 | 0.4 | \$788 | 11.5 |
| Legal/Ethical/History | 0 | າ | | | | | 13 | | | \$152 582 | 49.7 |
| Covern/Public Admin | 9 | 21 | <u></u> | 3 | ያ ር/ |) | <u>?</u> | ? | : | -00'-01 | |
| | _ | | _ | | 13% | 70 | 60 | 0.0 | 6.1 | \$34,197 | 27.9 |
| Crim/Crim Just/Soc Pol | | 7 | • | | | | | | | | |
| | | ; | | | | | - | 0 7 | 1 6 | \$35,189 | 22.7 |
| 8 0 | | 9 | 11/ | אר | K 00 | | | | | | |
| • The types of exception vary by department. See departm | vary by der | artment. S | ee department | nental reports. | | | | | <u>ر</u> | UMSA:OAA: Nov-95 | CR-NON |





ERIC

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND AT BALTIMORE Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department

| | | 94-95 | 94-95 Instructional Workload | orkload | | | 94-95 Non- | Instruction | 94-95 Non-Instructional Productivity - RATIO PER FTEF | ty - RATIO | PER FTEF |
|---|-------------|------------|------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------|------------|-------------|---|-------------------|-----------|
| | Standard | Total | # of Fac. | jo# | | | | Jo # | # of | | # Days in |
| | Load of | # of | Who Taught | Faculty | % of Faculty | | jo # | Creative | Profession. | S s in | Public |
| | Core | Course | Standard | W/ Course | W/ Course # of Books | # of Books | Publicat. | Activities | Presentat. | Research | Service |
| Department | Faculty | Except.* | Load & More | Exceptions | Exceptions | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF |
| School of Nursing | 9 | 11 | 51 | 35 | 41% | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.6 | \$32,506 | 20.2 |
| School of Social Work | 2 | 99 | 30 | 27 | 47% | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 2.9 | \$26,114 | 23.3 |
| School of Medicine | n.a. | D.C | 912 | 13 | *1 | n.a | n.a | n.a | n.a | в. | n.a |
| School of Dentistry | n.a. | n.a | 62 | 35 | 31% | n.a | n.a | n.a | n.a | n.a | n.a |
| School of Pharmacy | е. С. | n.a | 48 | 12 | 20% | n.a | n.a | a. G | Б. | n.a | n.a |
| School of Law | n.a. | n.a | 41 | 89 | 16% | n.a | n.a | n.a | n.a | n.a | n.a |
| UMAB** | | 137 | 81 | 62 | 43% | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 2.3 | \$82,779 | 10.2 |
| • The types of exception very by department. See depart | vary by deo | artment S. | ee departments | mental reports | | | | | ر | UMSA: OAA: Nov-95 | Nov-95 |

The types of exception vary by department. See departmental reports.

** Instructional workload includeds schools of Nursing and Social Work only. Non-instructional workload includes all schools.

37

<u>က</u>

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND BALTIMORE COUNTY Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department

| | | 1 56-46 | 94-95 Instructional Wo | al Workload | | | 94-95 Non- | Instruction | I Productivi | 94-95 Non-Instructional Productivity - RATIO PER FTEF | ER FTEF |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---|-----------|
| | Standard | Total | # of Fac. | jo ** | % OF | | | # of | # of | | # Days in |
| | l pad of | J O 34 | Who Taught | Faculty | Faculty | | jo * | Creative | Profession. | ss in | Public |
| | Core | Course | Standard | W/ Course | W/ Course | # of Books | Publicat. | Activities | Presentat. | Research | Service |
| | Facility | Except • | Load & More | Exceptions | Exceptions | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF |
| Deparment | i avuny | 2001 | 9 | 0 | %0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0\$ | 8.0 |
| American Studies |) U | o a | |) er | %09 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | \$15,882 | 2.6 |
| Ancient Studies | י מ |) | . " | · • | 25% | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 2.0 | \$2,367 | 1.3 |
| Dance | n 4 | 7 (| . 4 | - | 44% | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | \$224 | 3.7 |
| English | ח ע | 2 - | <u> </u> | _ | 13% | | 6.3 | 6.8 | 1.2 | 0\$ | 15.6 |
| Music | n 4 | - 0 | - v | - r | 38% | | 9.0 | 1.0 | 2.9 | \$0 | 0.3 |
| Philosophy | ი ყ | י מ | | 0 0 | 22% | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 1.3 | \$1,917 | 4.3 |
| I healre | o v | 7 (| . 14 | - | 7% | 0.1 | 9.0 | 3.1 | 1.3 | \$10,813 | 1.6 |
| Visual & Perform Aris | 7 | • | • | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.5 | 14 | 19 | 12 | 39% | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 1.7 | \$89,932 | 6.1 |
| piology | ; · | | 7 | | 13% | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 4.0 | \$101,091 | 6.5 |
| Chem & blochem Eng | | 1 (| . 16 | · E | 16% | 9.0 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 7.7 | \$217,879 | 16.5 |
| Chemistry & Biochem | 7 | 7 | - - | | ** | 90 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | \$56,561 | 3.6 |
| Computer Science | - | <u> </u> | | - « | 75% | 03 | 7.7 | 1.7 | 7.3 | \$267,904 | . 8.1 |
| Electrical Engineering | ი [,] | | , | | | 0 | 0 8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | % | 24.0 |
| Emergency Health Sc | ი · | 2 ` | , ç | | | - | 27 | 0 | 1.7 | \$26,041 | 1.5 |
| Info System Mgment | - | - ! | | | | | 2.4 | | 15 | | 19.8 |
| Mathematics | 4 | 2 - | | - | | 2 6 | 0 0 | | _ | \$78.272 | 3.5 |
| Mechanical Engineering | 4 | - 5 | 12 | | | 5 | 7 .0 | 2 6 | | | |
| Physics | ლ | 7 | 15 | er . | 17% | 0.2 | 9. 3.9 | 00 | P . | osc'n ⊁ € | 4 |
| Education | | 9 | 12 | 7 | 14% | 0.5 | 6.0 | 0.2 | 3.5 | \$68,630 | 16.9 |
| African American St | | 4 | <u>-</u> | | 148 | 0.5 | 8.0 | 0.7 | 1.5 | | 18.0 |
| Economics/Admin Sc | | | | 7 | 22% | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | \$994 | 21.0 |
| 0 & | | | | | | 40 | 0 | | | | |

B



Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND BALTIMORE COUNTY

| • | | 94-951 | 94-95 Instructional Workload | orkload | | | 94-95 Non | -Instruction: | 94-95 Non-Instructional Productivity - RATIO PER FTEF | ty - RATIO | PER FTEF |
|--|--------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|---------------|---|--------------|-----------|
| | Standard | Total | # of Fac. | Jo * | % OF | | | j o# | # of | | # Days in |
| | Load of | * o * | Who Taught | Faculty | Faculty | | jo # | Creative | Profession. | \$s in | Public |
| | Core | Course | Standard | W/ Course | W/ Course | # of Books | Publicat. | Activities | Presentat. | Research | Service |
| Department | Faculty | Except.* | Load & More | Exceptions | Exceptions | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF |
| Geography | * | 2 | 8 | 1 | 11% | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 2.4 | \$32,857 | 13.8 |
| History | 5 | 7 | 13 | က | 19% | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.1 | \$9,862 | 22.1 |
| Policy Science | ₩ | 12 | * | က | 43% | 0.2 | €.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | \$220,924 | 22.2 |
| Political Science | S | 80 | 7 | S | 42% | 9.0 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 1.3 | \$0 | 20.6 |
| Psychology | * | 14 | 21 | 3 | 13% | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 1.8 | \$30,336 | 11.1 |
| Socy/Anth/Health Sc Po | * | O | 13 | 4 | 24% | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.4 | \$563,536 | 17.5 |
| Social Work | S | 5 | 9 | - | 14% | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.0 | \$21,408 | 9.2 |
| Modern Languages | S | 9 | 12 | က | 20% | 0.1 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0\$ | 2.9 |
| UMBC | | 169 | 292 | 06 | 24% | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.8 | | 2.1 \$71,298 | 10.8 |
| * The types of exceptions vary by department. See departmental reports | s vary hy de | nartment S | see department | al reports | | | | | ב | UMSA OAA | Nov-95 |



DATA PEFLECT TEACHING EXPECTATIONS PRIOR TO FUI 'IMPLEMENTATION OF UMS POLICY €. €.

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND COLLEGE PARK

Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department

| | | 94-95 | 94-95 Instructional Workload | rkload | | | 94-95 Non- | Instruction | 94-95 Non-Instructional Productivity - RATIO PER FTEF | y - RATIO | ER FTEF |
|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------------|-------------|---|-----------|-----------|
| | Chandard | Total | # of Fac | # OF | Jo % | | | # of | jo * | | # Days in |
| | Statitual u | # Journal of the state of the s | Who Talinht | Faculty | Faculty | | # of | Creative | Profession. | \$s in | Public . |
| | רטמת כו | 93170 | Standard | W/ Course | W/ Course | # of Books | Publicat. | Activities | Presentat. | Research | Service |
| | | Fyrant • | Load & More | Exceptions | Exceptions | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF |
| Deparment | l acuity | - Vacaba | 0 | 0 | %0 | 0 1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 2.9 | \$5,000 | 6.1 |
| American Studies | 5 4 | • | 7 | 9 | 30% | 0.4 | 0.2 | 6.4 | 2.1 | \$138 | 14.2 |
| Art | <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u> | | • | ▼ | 27% | 0 | 1 | 0.3 | 1.1 | \$11,474 | 4.0 |
| Art Hist & Archeology | • | n (| - (| r < | 21.70 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 90 | 90 | 0\$ | 3.4 |
| Classics | <u>.</u> | n , | 7 6 | • | P 70 F | 9 6 | 7 1 | 12 | 3.1 | 0\$ | 15.5 |
| Comparative Literature | + | | 7 | - (| 22.6 | 9 | - 0 | - 4 | 1.8 | \$166 | 63 |
| Dance | <u> </u> | 9 | | 7 | | 2 6 | 2 . | . + | 2.0 | 63 719 | 7 6 |
| English | 2 | 72 | 34 | 33 | | | 4.1 | - 6 | 2.0 | 67,74 | P 60 |
| Journalism | 5 | 5 | 14 | 9 | 30% | 4.0 | - 1.00 - 1.00 | Ω̈́Ω | 7.0 | \$2,171 | 5 (|
| I ihrary & Info Services | 5 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 14% | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 3.3 | \$26,993 | 10.2 |
| Misio | <u>.</u> | 25 | 29 | 15 | 34% | 0.1 | 0.3 | 24.9 | 1.8 | \$7,298 | 12.9 |
| Midaic | > ₹ | 9 | | 5 | | 0.2 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 4.5 | \$8,782 | 2.4 |
| Pnilosopny | r v | | 7 | | | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 3.7 | \$5,639 | 3.9 |
| Speech Communication | <u>.</u> | | | . 4 | | 0.0 | - | 10.8 | 13 | 20 | 4.6 |
| Theatre | 2 | 2 | 7 | 0 | | | <u> </u> | | | · | |
| Architecture | ▼ | 19 | 14 | 10 | 42% | 0.1 | 6:0 | 0.7 | 1.7 | \$14,219 | 15.3 |
| CONTRACTOR OF A | 4 | | 24 | <i>ෆ</i> | | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 10.7 | \$41,228 | 62.5 |
| Ag Resource Lcon. | · • | | | 4 | | 6.0 | 8.1 | 1.6 | 12.9 | \$312,087 | 74.3 |
| Agronomy | | • | | _ | | 00 | 8.5 | 0.2 | 8.6 | \$212,435 | 58.8 |
| Animai science | | - 6 | | | | | | 0, | 6.6 | \$84,173 | 45.0 |
| Bio Resources Eng. | - | ? (| | | | | | | | | 2.0 |
| Institutute of Applied Ag | 4 | · · | | | | _ | | 12.6 | | 51417 | 68.5 |
| Horticulture | | - - | 61 | | | | _ | _ | | | 10.4 |
| Nutrition & Food Sc | _ | 75 | | | | | | 7.0 | 7 | | 4110 |
| Poultry Science | T | 0 | 8 | 0 | %0 | 0.7 | 10.4 | | 2 | _ | - |
| d | | | | | | | , | | | | |

<u>4</u>

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND COLLEGE PARK Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department

| | | 94-95 1 | 94-95 Instructional Workload | vrkload | | | 94-95 Non | -Instruction | al Productivi | 94-95 Non-Instructional Productivity - RATIO PER FTEF | ER FTEF |
|-------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|---|--------------------|
| | Standard | Total | # of Fac. | # of | % of | | | jo # | jo# | | # Days in |
| | Load of | Jo # | Who Taught | Faculty | Faculty | | jo # | Creative | Profession. | \$s in | Public |
| | Core | Course | Standard | W/ Course | W/ Course | # of Books | Publicat. | Activities | Presentat | Research | Service |
| Department | Faculty | Except. | Load & More | Exceptions | Exceptions | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF |
| Veterinary Medicine | 9 | 80 | 9 | 3 | 33% | 0.5 | 5.6 | 1.3 | 8.2 | \$1,410 | 100.9 |
| | | | | | | 4 | 1 | 1 | • | 1 | • |
| Astronomy | 2 | m | 17 | 2 | 23% | 0.3 | 7.5 | 5.2 | O:80 | \$250,745 | 31.9 |
| Chemistry & Biochem | 2 | 29 | 15 | 30 | %29 | 0.5 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 3.3 | \$180,076 | 6.5 |
| Computer Science | 2 | _ | 40 | - | 2% | 0.8 | 11.6 | 0.7 | 10.0 | \$387,019 | 12.7 |
| Entomology | \$ | 16 | 7 | 7 | 20% | 0.5 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 12.2 | \$202,650 | 88.3 |
| Geology | * | 4 | 11 | - | 8% | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 3.0 | \$32,308 | 13.5 |
| Mathematics | 4 | 54 | 58 | 34 | 37% | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1.5 | \$33,210 | 2.0 |
| Meteorology | က | 4 | 7 | 2 | 22% | 0.0 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 6.9 | \$729,520 | 12.6 |
| Microbiology | 2 | _ | 12 | - | 8% | 0.5 | 7.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | . \$73,770 | 7.4 |
| Physics | 2 | 14 | 75 | 11 | 13% | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 3.8 | \$238,621 | 4. |
| Plant Biology | 5 | 28 | 80 | 15 | 65% | 0.3 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 2.8 | \$85,240 | 7.5 |
| Zoology | 2 | 20 | 12 | 20 | 9% | 0.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 3.3 | \$76,506 | 5.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aerospace Engineering | * | 9 | 1 | 9 | 32% | 0.1 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 | \$514,509 | 14.0 |
| Chemical Engineering | 4 | 4 | 11 | - | 8% | 0.3 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 8.3 | \$127,485 | 26.9 |
| Civil Engineering | 5 | 12 | 20 | 2 | 26% | 0.8 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 3.2 | \$142,005 | 11.7 |
| Electrical Engineering | 4 | 28 | 46 | 15 | 25% | 0.3 | 5.4 | 0.1 | 4.0 | \$420,822 | 7.2 |
| Fire Protection Eng | 4 | 4 | 4 | - | 20% | . 0.2 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 5.3 | \$25,697 | 19.8 |
| Materials & Nuclear Eng | 4 | 80 | 21 | 7 | 25% | 0.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 2.9 | \$195,823 | 4.8 |
| Mechanical Engineering | * | 39 | 19 | 26 | 28% | 0.5 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 6.3 | \$173,734 | 20.1 |
| Rucipace & Mamont | | 28 | 75 | 17 | %LC | 00 | 22 | 0.1 | 23 | \$23 549 | 11 |
| | | | | | | | ! | ; | <u>;</u> | | • |
| | 45 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | - (4 |

94-95 Non-Instructional Productivity - RATIO PER FTEF 2.8 2.6 3.3 6. 3.6 3.3 Presentat. per FTEF **Profession** 0.2 0.2 0.0 0.5 0.0 9.0 9.0 0.3 0.0 Activities 0.1 per FTEF Creative **Jo *** Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department 1.5 2.6 2.2 2.2 7. 2.2 9 0.9 0. per FTEF Publicat. jo# # of Books 0.5 0.2 0.0 0.2 0.3 0.3 per FTEF 0.4 15% 23% 20% 7% 22% **16%** 89 10% 12% 3% 52% 22% 13% 24% 29% 28% Exceptions W/ Course Faculty % of 9 10 8 2 1 W/ Course Exceptions Faculty jo# 94-95 Instructional Workload 29 15 33 10 3 10 26 Load & More 7 7 Who Taught Standard # of Fac. 15 2 18 22 Except.* Course Total jo# 5 5 Standard Faculty Load of Core

Counseling & Personnel Curriculum & Instruction

Department

Ed. Pol, Plan. & Admin.

Human Development

Health Education

Measurement & Stat Special Educatiojn

Kinesiology

6.5

\$4,591

2

396,228

54.850

191,22

per FTEF 16.5 23.6 11.3 14.7 31.2

> \$23,265 \$25,698

Service Public

> Research per FTEF

\$s in

Days in

36.5

\$126,343

18.5 38.7

\$40,000 \$31,392 5324,254

Afro-American Studies

Criminal Justice

Anthropology

Family Studies

Geography

Economics

35.5

7.4 14.4 9.1

15.4

\$84,280 \$41,209 \$207,229 \$17,305 0.0

\$16,423

\$12,991

\$30,972 38,748

2.8 3.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

%

39

8

Sovernment & Politics tearing & Speech Sc

lewish Studies

History

0.3 0.3

13% 22% 12% 33%

5

Women Studies

Public Affairs

Sociology

Sychology

inguistics

94.3 10.9 5.5

183,433

7.4

0.6

\$27,572

108,691

0.0

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND COLLEGE PARK

DATA PEFLECT TEACHING EXPECTATIONS PRIOR TO FU! 'MPLEMENTATION OF UMS POLICY



50

Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND COLLEGE PARK

| | | 94-95 | 94-95 Instructional Workload | rkload | | | 94-95 Non- | Instruction | al Productivi | 94-95 Non-Instructional Productivity - RATIO PER FTEF | PER FTEF |
|--|--------------|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------|---------------------|----------------------|---|-----------|
| | Standard | Total | # of Fac. | Jo # | % of | | | # o ‡ | # of | | # Days in |
| | Load of | 50 | Who Taught | Faculty | Faculty | | Jo # | Creative | Creative Profession. | \$s in | Public |
| | Core | Course | Standard | W/ Course | W/ Course | W/Course # of Books Publicat. | Publicat. | Activities | Activities Presentat | Research | Service |
| Department | Faculty | Except. | Load & More | Exceptions | Exceptions per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | | per FTEF per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| French & Italian | S | 14 | 12 | * | 25% | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 2.0 | \$77 | 2.1 |
| German & Slavic | 7 | 7 | 13 | | %1. | 6.0 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 3.2 | \$3,655 | 9.5 |
| Hebrew & East Asian | * | S | | 8 | 21% | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 2.8 | | 2.4 |
| Spanish & Portuguese | 2 | 10 | ੁ ਹ | \$ | 36% | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 2.7 | \$4,381 | 6.7 |
| - CMC | | 803 | 1,126 | 449 | 29% | 0.2 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | \$92,998 | 13.0 |
| • The types of exceptions vary by department. See depart | s vary by de | partment. S | see department | mental reports. | | | | | | JMSA: OAA: Nov-95 | |

The types of exceptions vary by department. See departmental reports.



DATA REFLECT TEACHING EXPECTATIONS PRIOR TO FULL IMPLEMENTATION OF UMS POLICY

Summary of Core and Full-Time Faculty Productivity By Department UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND EASTERN SHORE

| | | 94-95 | 94-95 Instructional Workload | rkload | | | 93-94 Non- | Instructiona | I Productivi | 93-94 Non-Instructional Productivity - RATIO PER FTEF | ER FTEF |
|--|--------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|---------------------|--------------|---|-----------|
| | Park Park | Total | # of Fac | # of | % of | | | j o * | jo * | _ | # Days in |
| | Standard | - Total | MARO Tought | Faculty | 2 | | Jo | Creative | Profession | \$s in | Public |
| | Load of | 5 * | Will Laughn | i acumy | | # of Books | Publicat | Activities | Presentat. | Research | Service |
| | Coge | Course | Standard | M/ Course | AA Compa | 2000 | | | 1 | ٠. | DOT GTEE |
| | Faculty | Except | Load & More | Exceptions | Exceptions | per FTEF | per FTEF | per FTEF | per r r r | 2 | |
| Department | a | - | 11 | 7 | 33% | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 0.7 | \$1,000 | 13.9 |
| English | 0 | - | • | | 700, | 0 | C | 7 6 | 0.3 | \$0 | 29.4 |
| Fine Arts | & | m | <i>1</i> | - | R 2 | 7.0 | ? | <u>.</u> | | • | |
| | | | | | | | (| | | 6717 157 | 40.7 |
| 0.000 | 00 | 47 | 4 | 10 | 71% | 0.5 | 2.3 | 4.0 | <u> </u> | 7cc' /c7¢ |) |
| Apricalida | 0 | - | 101 | vc | 38% | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | \$24,878 | 11.7 |
| Math & Computer Sc | o (| 2 , | 7 | | 45% | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.2 | \$23,314 | 5.4 |
| Natural Science | x 0 | <u>C</u> | - | D (| 201 | | | c | · · | \$23,600 | 29.3 |
| Physical Therapy | 60 | 8 | ෆ | 2 | ¥0¥ |)) | O.O | 2 | · | _ | |
| | • | ~ | | 2 | 40% | 0.0 | 0.4 | 9.0 | 0.8 | \$4,956 | 74.0 |
| Technology | 0 | 7 | • | ! | | | | | | | |
| | . ! | c | ∀ | | % 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0\$ | 0.0 |
| Airway Science | es. | - | - | | | 0 0 | Į. | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0\$ | 24.7 |
| Business | ∞ | 3 | 7 | 7 | | | • (| | | | 107 |
| Hotel & Restaurant Mgt. | 80 | | ▼ | - 7 | 33% | 0.0 | 0.0 | c:/ |)) | | 7.7 |
| | | • | | | 7660 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | \$46,009 | 3.8 |
| Education | 0 | • 6 | | | | 00 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | \$6,580 | 8.7 |
| Physical Education | 20 | 35 | | | | | | | _ | | |
| ! | 0 | 4 | | | 71% | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.3 | \$59,392 | 20.7 |
| Human Ecology | • | 2 | | | | • | 9 | | 9 | 594 | 21.8 |
| Social Science | 60 | ~~ | | | ₽ - | - - | . | | | | |
| (! | | 156 | | 98 | 40% | 0.1 | 8:0 | 1.3 | 0 | \$36,591 | 17.5 |
| • The types of exceptions vary by department. See depart | s vary by de | partment. | See departme | tal reports | | | | | 7 | UMSA:OAA: Nov-95 | S6-voN |

22

15 1-



ATTACHMENT A





DEPARTMENT OF FISCAL SERVICES

MARYLAND GENERAL ASSEMBLY LEGISLATIVE SERVICES BUILDING 90 STATE CIRCLE ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401-1991 (410) 841-3761

WILLIAM S. RATCHFORD, I

BARBARA & KLEN DEPUTY DIRECTOR

June 26, 1995

Mr. Javier Miyares
Assistant Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs
University of Maryland System Administration
3300 Metzerott Road
Adelphi, MD 20783

Dear Mr. Miyeres:

As you know, committee narrative in the 1995 Report of the State Operating Budget by the Chairmen of the Senate Budget and Taxation Committee and House Appropriations Committee (page 153) requests that faculty workload reporting for University of Maryland. System institutions continue for the 1994-1995 academic year in the format followed for the December 1994 report, with two suggested modifications:

- 1. Where existing faculty workload standards are to be changed as the result of implementing the Board of Regents guidelines, data should be reported in a manner permitting the clear determination of the number of faculty meeting or exceeding the current standard and the new standard.
- 2. The report include an indication of the shares of effort faculty devote to instruction, research, and service, on a departmental basis. A suggested format would report the average share of effort devoted to each category, and the range of effort experienced within each category.

Based upon our conversations over the past several weeks, you have altered the faculty workload survey instrument to incorporate the committees' requests as follows, modified as necessary reflect the absence or unreliability of available data.

Number of faculty meeting or exceeding the current standard and the new standard:

Line 3 of the survey instrument was modified to reflect the number of course units each faculty member was expected to teach during the academic year 1994-1995, and the number of course units expected to be taught by each faculty member in academic year 1995-1996. Line 12 of the survey form will indicate the number of faculty members who taught, or are expected to teach the number of course units specified in line 3.



Javier Miyares
June 26, 1995
Page 2

Shares of effort faculty devote to instruction, research, and service, on a departmental basis:

As originally envisioned, the faculty workload report would provide a summary of each faculty member's experience with teaching, research, and service. However, based on our discussions, we determined that this data would need to be self-reported, an onerous task, and may not reliably gauge effort in these areas. In large part, the intent of determining such shares of effort was to see if tenured and tenure-track faculty devoted time to instruction commensurate with non-tenured faculty. Members of the General Assembly have often expressed concern that undergraduate students, and especially incoming freshmen, are not exposed to the talents of top-notch tenured faculty.

The alternative approach we agreed upon will provide an additional level of detail concerning student credit hours generated, by type of faculty. As you have determined, reliable data exists for lower and upper division undergraduate credit hours, and graduate credit hours, and will be reported on lines 8, 9 and 10 of the survey document. I believe reporting this data will be an acceptable and more reliable approach than that requested by the committee narrative.

In conclusion, the modifications you have made to the survey instrument for the Fall 1994 - Spring 1995 Report on Faculty Teaching Load satisfy the intent of the budget committees as set forth in committee narrative. If you require further guidance regarding this issue, please do not hesitate to call me at 301-858-3736.

Sincerely

Gregor

Analyst

Department of Fiscal Services

90 State Circle

Annapolis, MD 21401-1991

2CS

ce: William S. Ratchford, II
Linda L. Stahr
Rachel Hise
Kristin Terchek



ATTACHMENT B



THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND SYSTEM Fall 1894 - Spring 1996 Report on Faculty Teaching Load Institution's Name

| Transmitted transmit | a contract of Compact | | | | | | Type of Facuity | _ | } | | | • | • |
|--|--|-------|--|----------|--|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------|-------|--|
| Faculty Facu | I amo to paori feminae i purpurite Joi 9779 | 1 | 2 Der | | | F | <u>=</u> | <u>.</u> | ء ع | ; | | | E 2 |
| 44.65 92.60 14.65 16.50 14.65 | | Tenum | -Track | Depart | The st | Non-Tery | Ion-Track | Non-Tervi Re | ton-Track | Feculty Feculty | Assist. | 3 | |
| Webs (94-66) Webs (94-66) Webs (94-66) | | 2 | | | 2 | 90 70 | 8 | 200 | 88 | 8 | 28 | 3 | 98-98 98-98 |
| And Fee. Course Fe | | 3 | 98-08 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 200 | 1 | 38.00 | | | | |
| Fec. Course Fec. C | N of Headcount Faculty | | | - | | | | | | The second second | 1000 | | 100 m |
| Fec. Course Fac. C | FTEF | | | | | | | 4 | 300 C | | | | *** |
| Fig. Course Fec. C | Company of FTEE (OPTIONAL) | | | | *** | 20.5 | | | | | | 30000 | * |
| Politic (94-65) # Ratio # Ratio # Ratio # Ratio | | | | | | | | | | *** | | | 64 64 64 74 74 |
| Fec. Course Fec. C | Standard Annuel Course Units Load | | \$ 100 CO | | 0.000 | | \$ 100 miles | | | | | | |
| Fig. Course Fec. C | N of Courses Taught (on Load) | | ****** | | ************************************** | | 3.55.5 | | | | 200 | | |
| Politics (94 CG) Feet. Course F | N of Other Course Units Tought (en load) | | | | . 20 San 25 0 | | | | ." | | | | |
| Peter (P.F.) Fec. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course William (P.F.) Fec. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course William (P.F.) Fec. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course William (P.F.) Fec. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course William (P.F.) Fec. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course William (P.F.) Fec. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course William (P.F.) Fec. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course William (P.F.) Fec. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course William (P.F.) Fec. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course William (P.F.) Fec. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course Frc. Course William (P.F.) Fec. Course Frc. | Total Courses + Course Units Tought (on bood) | | 13 S 3. 4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fig. Course Fec. C | to of Shirthard Credit Hours Conscribed (on load) | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 |
| I Load In Standard Load Is a fac. Course Fac. Course Fac. Course Saccad Activities (A165) Saacad Activities (A165) Saacad Activities (A165) | The Court of the C | | ************************************** | | | | * | | | | | | 1 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S |
| Seinched Activities (04.06) | | | | | | | | | * * | | | | |
| Selected Activities (94 CC) Selected Activit | Upper Division SCH | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Selected Activities (94-05) Selected Activities (94-05) Selected Activities (94-05) | Graduate SCH | | | | | | | | | Section of Strain | | | 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1 |
| Seaced Activities (04.05) # Ratio | N of Courses Tempted on Overford | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and Load | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| control Load F. Course F. | N of Feculty Who Taught Standard Load | | (2)/2)/2006/2014 | | 2100000000 | | 1000 | | | | | | |
| Fec. Course Fec. Course Fec. Course Fec. Course (Fec. Course) | N of Faculty Who Taught More than Standard Load | | | | | | Section Current | | | | م عادد الدمان | | |
| Pec. Course Fec. C | N of Fee Exempled from Teaching Standard Load | | | | | , | | - | * | | | * | • |
| Fec. Course Fec. Course Pec. Course Pe. Course Pec. Course Pec. Course Pec. Course Pec. Course Pec. Co | By Type of Expedien (94-95) | * | • | b | | • , | | į | | | | 5 | Course |
| # Ratio | (N of Faculty Total Course Reduction) | Fac. | Course | يو | Course | 2 | 3 | į | | | | | |
| F Ratio | And the state of t | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Failo | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| # Ratio # Ratio # Ratio | Departmental Administration | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| # Ratio # Ratio # Ratio # Partio | Externally Funded Research and Service | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| # Ratio # Ratio # Ratio # | Department-Supported Research | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| # Ratio # Ratio # Ratio # Faulto # Ratio # Faulto # Fault | Department Supported Service - Profession | | | | | | | | | | 100 | | |
| # Ratio # Ratio # Ratio | Decertment-Supported Service - Internet | | | | | | | | | | • ••• | | _ |
| g Ratio g Ratio g Ratio | Department-Supported Service - Public | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ratio # Ratio # Ratio | Sabbatical | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ratio | Illness/DesttyOther, Specify | | | | | | 14.6 | • | 2170 | | | | Reflo |
| | Research Scholerable and Other Selected Activities (94-95) | * | Se Se | | | | SE | • | 2 | | | | |
| orita a entrationa Granta & Contracta I Granta & Contracta A Contr | a of Books Published | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| actions a Gratia & Contracts I Grants a & Contracts tube: Service Wheat | a d Before d Winds | | | * | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P | | | | · | | | | | | | | |
| | is of Creative Activities | | - | 3.00 m | \$10000 B | | | | | | | | |
| | # of Professional Presentations | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | is of Externally Funded Grants & Contracts | | | | 1 # 7 | | | | | | | | |
| | # of Feculty Awarded Grants | | | **** | | | | | | | | | |
| | Se Avended in Grants & Contracts | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 of UG Students Advised | and Dave Street in Public Service | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TO COLUMN TAKE CONTINUED | a of 10 Straints Added | | | | | 6.3 | | | | | | | |
| | TANOLING SAME AND SAME OF THE | | | | *** | 75. | | | | | | | _ |



THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND SYSTEM FALL 1994 - SPRING 1995 REPORT ON FACULTY TEACHING LOAD

- INSTRUCTIONS AND DEFINITIONS -

Time frame. The time period covered by this report is Fall 1994 and Spring 1995. The standard annual course units load (line 3), and the number of faculty who would have taught the standard load (line 12) are also requested for Fall 1995 and Spring 1996.

Type of Faculty. All information is requested by type of faculty. The last column provides for departmental totals. Some information is only requested for some type of faculty. This is indicated in the form by the shading of cells.

Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty. All persons (except the department chair) holding tenured and tenure-track positions who are classified as faculty (regardless of sub-classification: instructional, research and public service), and are so reported to the Maryland Higher Education Commission through the Employee Data System.

<u>Department Chair</u>. The person who holds faculty rank and has administrative and academic responsibility for managing the department or unit being reported.

<u>Full-Time</u>, <u>Non-Tenured</u>, <u>Non-Tenure Track Instructional Faculty</u>. All persons who, while neither tenured nor on the tenure track, are employed full time by the UMS, are classified as instructional faculty and are so reported to the Maryland Higher Education Commission through the Employee Data System.

Full-Time Non-Tenured, Non-Tenure Track Research or Public Service Faculty. All persons who, while neither tenured nor on the tenure track, are employed full time by the UMS, are classified as research or public service faculty and so reported to the Maryland Higher Education Commission through the Employee Data System, and whose salaries are supported, in whole or in part, by state funds.

Other Faculty. All other persons, other than teaching assistants, who taught in this department, either in one or in both semesters. This category includes adjunct and affiliated faculty, all part-time faculty, and non-departmental administrators (deans, assistant deans, etc.) who taught in this department. The only information required for this type of faculty is their number, the number of courses and course units taught, and the student credit hours generated.



Teaching Assistants. Typically, graduate students in the department whose tuition and fees are waived, and who may receive other remuneration in return for some type of instruction-related responsibilities within the department. The only information required for teaching assistants is their number, the number of courses taught, and the student credit hours generated.

Note: If a faculty member was employed in the Spring semester, but not in the Fall (and therefore not captured on the EDS file), they should be assigned to the column they would have been in had they been in the institution for the Fall EDS.

Basis for Departmental Expected Teaching Load. Very briefly, describe the basis for the department expected teaching load for core faculty. For example, institutional policy; national practice; accreditation requirements; etc.

Line 1: Number of Headcount Faculty. Include faculty who were on sabbatical, or employed for only one semester. Do not include faculty on leave without pay for the entire academic year.

Line 2: FTE Faculty. The number of headcount faculty adjusted to reflect their assignment to the department. For example, faculty who held a joint appointment in another department or UMS institution, and part-time tenured/tenure track faculty, should be reported as a fraction based on their appointment to the reporting department.

Line 2a: State-Supported FTE Faculty (OPTIONAL). Based on the proportion of a faculty salary paid from state instructional funds. For 12 month faculty, state-supported FTEs of less than 1.0 are multiplied by 1.22.

Line 3: 94-95 and 95-96 Standard Annual Course Units Load. The number of course units that each faculty member was expected to teach during the academic year 1994-1995, and the number of course units expected to be taught by each faculty member in academic year 1995-1996. Standard loads may differ by type of faculty. For purposes of defining standard instructional workload expectations, the course unit is defined as equivalent to a three-credit course. Departments which formulate this expectation in terms of credit hours, should convert the expected teaching load, for reporting purposes, to courses; e.g., 24 credit hours being equivalent to 8 courses.

Line 4: Number of Courses Taught on Load. The total number of courses taught on load by each type of faculty. Courses should be converted to 3-credit equivalent unites. Therefore, a four-credit course would be reported in this line as 1.33.



June 27, 1995

Similarly, a two-credit course would be reported as 0.67, and a one-credit course as 0.33. A course should be attributed to only one faculty. Team taught courses and courses taught with the assistance of teaching assistants should be prorated. For example, a three-credit course taught by two faculty members should be assigned as 0.5 course to each faculty member, and a four credit course as 0.67. If a faculty member appointed solely to this department taught a course in another department, such course(s) should be included here.

Line 5: Number of Other Course Units Taught On Load. See table below for the weights that should be used to convert graded instructional experiences that do not follow the traditional course format (e.g., individual studies, supervision of dissertation research, etc.) to course units. If a faculty member appointed solely to this department taught a course unit in another department, such course unit(s) should be included here. Note: any time these weights are used, the resulting units should go into this line (if on load) or into line 11 (if on overload).

| Course Level | N of Credits = 1 Course Unit |
|---|------------------------------|
| 800-899 (dissertation & doct. level individ. studies) | 10 Credits = 1 Course Unit |
| 799 (masters thesis) | 13 Credits = 1 Course Unit |
| 500-798 (other graduate level individual studies) | 18 Credits = 1 Course Unit |
| 400-499 (graduate/ug level individual studies) | 21 Credits = 1 Course Unit |
| 100-399 (undergraduate level individual studies) | 30 Credits = 1 Course Unit |

- Line 6: Total Courses + Course Units Taught. The addition of lines 4 and 5.
- Line 7: Number of Student Credit Hours. The total number of student credit hours generated, ON LOAD, by each type of faculty.
- Line 8: Number of Lower Division Student Credit Hours. The total number of lower division student credit hours generated, ON LOAD, by each type of faculty.
- Line 9: Number of Upper Division Student Credit Hours. The total number of upper division student credit hours generated, ON LOAD, by each type of faculty.
- Line 10: Number of Graduate Student Credit Hours. The total number of graduate student credit hours generated, ON LOAD, by each type of faculty.
- Line 11: Number of Courses Taught on Overload. The total number of courses and other course units taught on overload (for remuneration above base salary) by each type of faculty. Private instruction (e.g., music) for which a faculty member is paid extra remuneration, and for which the student receives credit, should be reported in this line, using the conversion weights included in the instructions for line 5.



June 27, 1995

Line 12: Number of Faculty Who Taught Standard Load. Number of faculty members who taught the number of course units specified in line 3.

Note: Dart-time core faculty, full-time faculty employed for only one semester, faculty on leave without pay for one semester, and faculty who held a joint appointment in another department or UMS institution, and whose teaching load was proportional to the standard load should be included here. For example, a part-time core faculty employed half-time who taught half the standard load should be included here. Similarly, individuals whose appointments are supported in whole or in part by Agricultural Experiment Station or Cooperative Extension Service's funds, and whose teaching load was proportional to the standard load should also be included here. For example, a faculty member half of whose salary was paid with Cooperative Extension Service funds, and who taught half the standard load should be included here.

Line 13: Number of Faculty Who Taught More than Standard Load. Number of faculty members who taught a number of course units larger than the number specified in line 3.

Line 14: Number of Faculty Exempted from Teaching Standard Load. The number of faculty members who did not teach the course units specified in line 3. (NOTE: LINE 12 + LINE 13 + LINE 14 = LINE 1)

Lines 15 through 23: By Type of Exception (N of Facuity | Total Course Reduction). The faculty members reported in line 14 should be distributed according to the principal reason for their not teaching the course units specified in line 3. When more than one type of exception applies, the one accounting for most of the faculty member's reassigned time should be used. (NOTE: THE ADDITION OF THE NUMBER OF FACULTY IN LINES 15 THROUGH 23 SHOULD EQUAL LINE 14). The total course reduction for each exception category should also be reported. For example, if one tenured professor was released of teaching two courses to develop a new course, and a tenure-track assistant professor was released of teaching one course to teach a course on an off-campus center, the entries in "Instruction-Related" (line 15), under "Tenured & Tenure-Track Faculty," would be 2 | 3 (e.g., two faculty members were released from teaching three courses). The # of course* a faculty member has been exempted from teaching does not necessarily have to add to whole numbers. For example, a faculty expected to teach 8 courses who taught 7 courses and the equivalent of 0.3 course units in individual instruction should be reported as having been exempted from teaching 0.7 courses.

Line 15: Instruction. Exceptions from the standard teaching load based upon instruction-related factors, including class size; development of new courses; modality



of instruction, including distance education; level of instruction; etc.

Line 16: Departmental Administration. Assumption of responsibility for the functions of assistant chair, program director, or for special departmental projects.

Line 17: Externally Funded Research and Service Activities. Assignment of additional time for research or service activities supported by external funds, either research or training grants.

Line 18: Department-Supported Research. (Departmental Research). Assignment of additional time for research activities supported by the department.

Line 19: Department-Supported Service - Profession. Assignment of additional time to serve in areas of service to the profession; e.g., as editor of a large journal.

Line 20: Department-Supported Service - Internal. Assignment of additional time in areas of service to the institution/system to serve in committees, as chair of faculty senate, etc.

Une 21: Department-Supported Service - Public. Assignment of additional time in areas of service to the public: schools, business, government, and non-profit organizations.

Line 22: Sabbatical. Exempted from teaching (either for a semester or for the entire academic year) by reason of being on sabbatical.

Line 23: Illness/Death/Other. If "other", specify for each faculty exemption falling in this category.

Lines 24 through 33: Non-Instructional Productivity. Summary of the non-instructional productivity of the departmental faculty. These data are collected through the Survey of Faculty Non-Instructional Productivity. The non-instructional productivity of faculty members who are not included in the form (e.g., research faculty whose salary is funded wholly from external sources) may be added to the column "Department Total." In each line, the actual productivity (e.g., the number of books) and the "ratio" (defined as the total productivity divided by line 2 - FTEF), are requested. If 94-95 data are not available, 93-94 data should be used.

Line 24: Number of books published, including textbooks and edited works.

Line 25: Number of refereed works (such as journal articles, poems, short stories, etc.) published, including chapters in books.



June 27, 1995

- Line 26: Number of non-refereed works published by commercial and non-commercial organizations, including newspaper articles.
- Line 27: Number of creative activities ("non-verbal research") completed or in which the faculty member had a meaningful participation, including artistic (musical, theatrical and dance) performances; art exhibits; recitals; concerts; etc.
- Line 28: Number of presentations given to conferences, seminars, etc. sponsored by professional associations.
- Line 29: Number of externally funded research and training grants received this year.
- Line 30: Number of faculty members in the department who were awarded externally funded research and training grants.
- Line 31: Total dollar amount expended this fiscal year from all externally funded research and training grants awarded to faculty members.
- Line 32: Number of days spent in public service with public school systems, government agencies, non-profit organizations, and businesses.
- Line 33: Number of undergraduate students advised.
- Line 34: Number of graduate/professional degree recipients for whom you served as program advisor, or on their master's thesis/dissertation committees.





U.S. Department of Education Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

| l. | DOCU | IMENT | IDENTIF | ICAT | ION: |
|----|------|-------|---------|------|------|
|----|------|-------|---------|------|------|

| Title: A Report to the Joint Chairs of the Senate Budget and Taxati House Appropriations committee on the Workload of the UMS Fac | |
|---|----------------------------|
| Author(s): UM System Administration | |
| Corporate Source: University of Maryland System | Publication Date: 12/95 |

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system. Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

Check here For Level 1 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4° x 6° film) ur other ERIC archival media (8.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 Jucuments

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Check here For Level 2 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

Level 1

Level 2

Documents will be processed as Indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC amployees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here→ piease Signature: Printed Name/Position/Tide: Organization/Address: Transcauor Address: Telephone: University of Maryland System Administration 301-445-2722

John Lippincott, Associate Vice Chancellor **301-**445-2724

Date:

2/11/97

Adelphi MD 20783

3300 Metzerott Road

jlippin@umsa.umd.edu

E-Mail Address:

